

MAXIMAL LINEABILITY OF THE CLASS OF DARBOUX NOT CONNECTIVITY MAPS ON \mathbb{R}

KRZYSZTOF CHRIS CIESIELSKI

ABSTRACT. We provide an elegant argument showing, in ZFC, that the class $\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}$ of all functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} that are perfectly everywhere surjective (so Darboux) but not connectivity is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -lineable, that is, that there exists a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of dimension $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ that is contained in $(\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}) \cup \{0\}$. This solves a problem from a 2020 paper of G.M. Albkwr, K.C. Ciesielski, and J. Wojciechowski. The construction utilizes a transcendental basis of \mathbb{R} .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Over the last two decades a lot of mathematicians have been interested in finding the largest possible vector spaces that are contained in various families of real functions, see e.g. survey [4], monograph [3], and the literature cited there. (More recent work in this direction include [2, 5, 8].) Specifically, given a cardinal number κ , a subset M of a vector space X is said to be κ -lineable (in X) provided there exists a linear space $Y \subset M \cup \{0\}$ of dimension κ . This notion was first studied by Vladimir Gurariy [9], even though he did not use the term lineability. He showed that the set of continuous nowhere differentiable functions on $[0, 1]$, together with the constant 0 function, contains an infinite-dimensional vector space, that is, it is ω -lineable. In what follows \mathfrak{c} denotes the cardinality of \mathbb{R} .

The goal of this note is to show that the class $\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}$ is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -lineable, where Conn stands for the class of all *connectivity functions* in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ (i.e., from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}), that is, having connected graphs (as subspaces of \mathbb{R}^2), while PES is the family of all *perfectly everywhere surjective* maps $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that is, such that $f[P] = \mathbb{R}$ for every non-empty perfect set $P \subset \mathbb{R}$. Notice that every $f \in \text{PES}$ is also Darboux. The \mathfrak{c}^+ -lineability of $\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}$, under the assumption that \mathfrak{c} is a regular cardinal number, has been proved in a 2020 paper [1] by G.M. Albkwr, K.C. Ciesielski, and J. Wojciechowski. In that paper the authors also asked [1, problem 4.1(iii)] if $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -lineability of $\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}$ can be proved in ZFC. Below we give an affirmative answer to this question.

For an $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ its *support* is defined as

$$\text{supp}(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that we do not take the closure of the set above.

For a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of non-zero functions with pairwise disjoint supports let $V_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the collections of all maps $f_s := \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} s(f) \cdot f$, where $s: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Notice that each f_s is well defined and that $V_{\mathcal{F}}$ has cardinality 2^{κ} , where $\kappa = |\mathcal{F}|$, is the

Date: Draft of 06/10/2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A15; 54C08; 15A03; 46J10; 54A35.

Key words and phrases. lineability, Darboux-like functions, Sierpiński–Zygmund functions.

cardinality of \mathcal{F} . Let $W_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ over \mathbb{R} spanned by $V_{\mathcal{F}}$. So, the following remark is obvious.

Remark 1.1. *If $|\mathcal{F}| = \mathfrak{c}$, then $W_{\mathcal{F}}$ has dimension $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$.*

Recall (see e.g. [6]) that $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a *Bernstein set* provided $P \cap B \neq \emptyset \neq P \setminus B$ for every non-empty perfect set $P \subset \mathbb{R}$.

For an $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ let $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ denote the subfield of \mathbb{R} generated by S (i.e., the smallest smallest subfield of \mathbb{R} containing S) and let $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}(S)$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ in \mathbb{R} . Recall that S is *algebraically independent* provided $s \notin \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(S \setminus \{s\})$ for every $s \in S$; and that S is a *transcendental basis* provided it is a maximal algebraically independent subset of \mathbb{R} . It is well known that there exists a transcendental basis T that is also a Bernstein set—it can be constructed by an easy transfinite induction.¹ (Compare [6, theorem 7.3.4].)

2. THE CONSTRUCTION

Let id be the identity map from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} and for $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ let $\text{id} \cdot \mathcal{F} := \{\text{id} \cdot f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ of cardinality \mathfrak{c} with pairwise disjoint supports such that $W_{\text{id} \cdot \mathcal{F}} \subset (\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}) \cup \{0\}$. In particular, the class $\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}$ is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -lineable.*

Proof. Let $\{b_{\xi} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of a transcendental basis T that is also a Bernstein set and let $\{B_r^{\eta} : r \in \mathbb{R}, \eta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a partition of T into Bernstein sets such that for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$:

- (a) $r \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(\{b_{\zeta} : \zeta < \xi\})$ for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ with $b_{\xi} \in B_r^{\eta}$.

Such a partition without the property (a) can be found by an easy transfinite induction. Then, the property (a) can be additionally imposed by removing from each initially constructed set B_r^{η} , with $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$ and $r \neq 0$, a set of cardinality less than \mathfrak{c} and adding each such removed part to B_0^{η} .

For every $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$ put

$$D_r^{\eta} := r \cdot B_r^{\eta},$$

and notice that

- (b) the sets in $\{D_r^{\eta} : r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ \& } \eta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ are Bernstein and pairwise disjoint.

To see pairwise disjointness, choose distinct $\langle r, \eta \rangle, \langle r', \eta' \rangle \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathfrak{c}$ and numbers $r \cdot b \in D_r^{\eta}$ and $r' \cdot b' \in D_{r'}^{\eta'}$. We need to show that $r \cdot b \neq r' \cdot b'$. Indeed, $b \neq b'$ as they belong to disjoint sets B_r^{η} and $B_{r'}^{\eta'}$, respectively. So, there are distinct $\xi, \xi' < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $b = b_{\xi}$ and $b' = b_{\xi'}$. We can assume that $\xi' > \xi$. Now, if $r \cdot b = r' \cdot b'$ then, by (a), $b_{\xi'} = b' = (r')^{-1} r \cdot b_{\xi} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(\{b_{\zeta} : \zeta < \xi'\})$, contradicting algebraic independence of T . To finish the proof of (b) it is enough to notice that $D_r^{\eta} = r \cdot B_r^{\eta}$ intersects every non-empty perfect set P , as $r^{-1}P$ is perfect and $B_r^{\eta} \cap (r^{-1}P) \neq \emptyset$.

For every $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$ define

$$f_r^{\eta}(x) := \begin{cases} r/x & \text{if } x \in D_r^{\eta} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus D_r^{\eta}, \end{cases}$$

¹Let $\{P_{\xi} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all non-empty perfect subsets of \mathbb{R} , for every ordinal $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ choose $b_{\xi} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}(\{b_{\zeta} : \zeta < \xi\})$. Then $\{b_{\xi} : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is algebraically independent, so there is a transcendental basis T extending it, see e.g. [10]. It is Bernstein, as it and its complement (containing a shift of T by 1) intersect every non-empty perfect set.

and put

$$f_\eta := \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} f_r^\eta.$$

The functions f_r^η and f_η are well defined since $0 \notin D_r^\eta$ and, by (b), the supports of the maps f_r^η are pairwise disjoint. We claim that the family $\mathcal{F} := \{f_\eta : \eta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is as needed.

Indeed, clearly $\text{id} \cdot \mathcal{F} = \{\text{id} \cdot f : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ consists of \mathfrak{c} -many distinct functions with pairwise disjoint supports. So, by Remark 1.1, $W_{\text{id} \cdot \mathcal{F}}$ has needed dimension $2^\mathfrak{c}$.

It remains to show that every non-zero $f \in W_{\text{id} \cdot \mathcal{F}}$ is in $\text{PES} \setminus \text{Conn}$. To see this, notice that there exist $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and $f_{s_1}, \dots, f_{s_n} \in V_{\text{id} \cdot \mathcal{F}}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_{s_i} = \sum_{\eta < \mathfrak{c}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i s_i(\eta) \right) \cdot (\text{id} \cdot f_\eta), \quad (1)$$

where $f_{s_i} := \sum_{\eta < \mathfrak{c}} s_i(\eta) \cdot \text{id} \cdot f_\eta$ for appropriate $s_i : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. Also, for every $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$ we have $\text{id} \cdot f_r^\eta = r \chi_{D_r^\eta}$, where χ_D is the characteristic function of D , as $(\text{id} \cdot f_\eta)(x) = x f_r^\eta(x) = r$ for every $x \in D_r^\eta$. In particular,

$$\text{id} \cdot f_\eta = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} \text{id} \cdot f_r^\eta = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} r \chi_{D_r^\eta}. \quad (2)$$

Also, there is an $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$ so that the number $c_\eta := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i s_i(\eta)$ is non-zero, since f is non-zero. Moreover, since sets $D_\eta := \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} D_r^\eta$ and $B^0 := \bigcup_{\eta < \mathfrak{c}} B_0^\eta$ are Bernstein and disjoint, (1) and (2) imply that for every non-empty perfect $P \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbb{R} = c_\eta \cdot \mathbb{R} = c_\eta \cdot ((\text{id} \cdot f_\eta) \upharpoonright (D_\eta \cup B^0))[P] = (f \upharpoonright (D_\eta \cup B^0))[P] \subset f[P],$$

proving that $f \in \text{PES}$.

To finish the proof, it is enough to show that $f \notin \text{Conn}$. To see this, notice that every c_η belongs to the finite set $C := \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i t(i) : t \in \{0, 1\}^{\{1, \dots, n\}}\}$. Since B^0 is infinite, we can choose an $a \in B^0$ such that $a \notin \mathbb{Q}(C \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus B^0))$. We claim that

$$f(x) \neq ax \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, $f(x) = ax \neq 0$ implies that $x = rb$ for some $\eta < \mathfrak{c}$, $r \neq 0$, and $b \in B_r^\eta$. But, by (1) and (2), this means that $arb = ax = f(x) = c_\eta (\text{id} \cdot f_r^\eta)(x) = c_\eta \cdot r$ and so $a = \frac{c_\eta}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}(C \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus B^0))$, contradicting the choice of a .

Finally, since $f \in \text{PES}$, its graph is dense, so there exist $q > p > 0$ such that $f(p) > ap$ and $f(q) < aq$. But this, together with (3) implies that the three-segment set $(\{p\} \times (-\infty, ap]) \cup \{(x, ax) : x \in [p, q]\} \cup (\{q\} \times [aq, \infty))$ separates the graph of f . \square

As mentioned above, the class \mathcal{D} of all *Darboux functions* (i.e, all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ mapping every interval into an interval) obviously contains PES . In particular, Theorem 2.1 immediately implies that

Corollary 2.2. *The class $\mathcal{D} \setminus \text{Conn}$ is $2^\mathfrak{c}$ -lineable.*

The results presented in this paper constitute a starting point of an extensive study of the maximal lineabilities for all classes in the algebra of Darboux-like maps on \mathbb{R} . This study is expected to lead to several other papers and a Ph.D. dissertation of Mr. Gbrel Albkwe. For the study of the additivity coefficients for the same classes of functions see [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Albkwe, K. C. Ciesielski, and J. Wojciechowski, *Lineability of the functions that are Sierpiński-Zygmund, Darboux, but not connectivity*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **114** (2020), no. 3, Paper No. 145 (10 pages), DOI 10.1007/s13398-020-00881-9.
- [2] G. Araújo, L. Bernal-González, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, J. A. Prado-Bassas, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability in sequence and function spaces*, Studia Math. **237** (2017), no. 2, 119–136, DOI 10.4064/sm8358-10-2016.
- [3] R. M. Aron, L. Bernal González, D. M. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane Sepúlveda, *Lineability: the search for linearity in mathematics*, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016.
- [4] L. Bernal-González, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Linear subsets of nonlinear sets in topological vector spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **51** (2014), no. 1, 71–130, DOI 10.1090/S0273-0979-2013-01421-6.
- [5] M. C. Calderón-Moreno, P. J. Gerlach-Mena, and J. A. Prado-Bassas, *Lineability and modes of convergence*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **114** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 18, 12, DOI 10.1007/s13398-019-00743-z.
- [6] K. Ciesielski, *Set theory for the working mathematician*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [7] K. C. Ciesielski, T. Natkaniec, D. L. Rodríguez-Vidanes, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Additivity coefficients for all classes in the algebra of Darboux-like maps on \mathbb{R}* , Results Math. **76** (2021), no. 1, Paper No. 7, 38, DOI 10.1007/s00025-020-01287-0. MR4193425
- [8] J. A. Conejero, M. Fenoy, M. Murillo-Arcila, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability within probability theory settings*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **111** (2017), no. 3, 673–684, DOI 10.1007/s13398-016-0318-y.
- [9] V. I. Gurariĭ, *Subspaces and bases in spaces of continuous functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **167** (1966), 971–973 (Russian).
- [10] T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York-Montreal, Que.-London, 1974.

(Krzysztof C. Ciesielski)
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY, MORGANTOWN,
 WV 26506-6310, USA
E-mail address: KCies@math.wvu.edu