

Krzysztof Ciesielski, Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University,  
Morgantown, WV 26506-6310, (kcies@wvnmvms.wvnet.edu)

## ON RANGE OF UNIFORMLY ANTISYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

### Abstract

In this note it is proved that the range of uniformly antisymmetric function must have at least four elements. This generalizes the results from [3] and [2] that the range of such function must have at least three elements. The problem whether the range of uniformly antisymmetric function can be finite remains open.

For a linear space  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  a function  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *uniformly antisymmetric* if for every  $x \in K$  there exists  $g(x) \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|f(x - h) - f(x + h)| \geq g(x)$$

for every  $0 < h < g(x)$ ,  $x \in K$ . It is easy to see that  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is uniformly antisymmetric if there exists a function  $g: K \rightarrow (0, 1)$ , called a *gauge function*, such that

$$f(x - h) \neq f(x + h)$$

for every  $0 < h < g(x)$ ,  $h \in K$ .

Uniformly antisymmetric functions has been studied by Kostyrko [3], Ciesielski and Larson [2], and Komjáth and Shelah [4]. In [2] it has been proved that there exists an uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . It has been also shown there that there is no uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: K \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  for any uncountable linear space  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , generalizing the result from [3]. The main open problem from [2, Problem 1] is whether there exists a uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with finite or bounded range. In this note we will reformulate this problem in terms of  $n$ -coloring of

---

Key Words: uniformly antisymmetric functions, coloring of infinite graphs

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A15, 26A21

Received by the editors September 30, 1993

some infinite graphs on  $\mathbb{R}$  and show that there is no uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: K \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$  for any uncountable linear space  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We need some definitions and facts. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a gage function  $g: K \rightarrow (0, 1)$  define a graph  $G_g = (K, E_g)$  by considering  $K$  as its set of vertices and defining the set  $E_g$  of its edges as the set of all unordered pairs  $\{a, b\}$  from  $K$  such that  $g(x) \geq |x - a| = |x - b|$  for  $x = (a + b)/2$ . Notice that for  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}$  there exists a uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: K \rightarrow B$  with a gage function  $g$  if and only if  $f$  is a coloring of the graph  $G_g$  such that no two vertices connected by an edge have the same color. In other words, there exists a uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: K \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  with gage  $g$  if and only if the graph  $G_g$  is  $n$ -colorable.

Since graph  $G_g$  is  $n$ -colorable if and only if every its finite subgraph is  $n$ -colorable (see [1]) we conclude the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** *For any linear space  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and any natural number  $n$  there exists a uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: K \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  if and only if there exists a function  $g: K \rightarrow (0, 1)$  such that every finite subgraph of  $G_g$  is  $n$ -colorable.*

*In particular, there is no uniformly antisymmetric function  $f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with finite range if and only if for every  $g: K \rightarrow (0, 1)$  and every natural number  $n$  the graph  $G_g$  contains a finite subgraph which is not  $n$ -colorable.  $\square$*

Now, we are ready to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $K$  be an uncountable linear space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $f: K \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$  then  $f$  is not uniformly antisymmetric function.*

*Proof.* Choose arbitrary  $g: K \rightarrow (0, 1)$ . By Theorem 1 it is enough to show that  $G_g$  contains finite subgraph which is not 3-colorable. To this order we will show that  $G_g$  contains  $K_4$ , i.e., graph with 4 vertices and all possible edges.

We denote vertices of  $K_4$  by  $A, B, C$  and  $D$ . We will think of this  $K_4$  as on 3-dimensional tetrahedron with base formed by vertices  $A, B$  and  $C$ . (See figure.) Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  denote the mid points of intervals (edges)  $BC, AC$  and  $AB$ , respectively. (Thus, in triangle  $ABC$ , point  $a$  is a center of the side opposite to  $A$ , etc.) Also, centers of sides  $AD, BD$  and  $CD$  are denoted by  $a', b'$  and  $c'$ , respectively.

The algebraic relation between these points is given by equations

$$A + B = 2c, \quad B + C = 2a, \quad A + C = 2b, \quad (1)$$

$$A + D = 2a', \quad B + D = 2b', \quad C + D = 2c'. \quad (2)$$

Solving (1) we obtain

$$A = -a + b + c, \quad B = a - b + c, \quad C = a + b - c. \quad (3)$$



Now, we are ready to make the choice of our  $K_4$ . First, choose  $d \in (0, 1/4)$  and an uncountable  $S \subset K$  such that  $g[S] \subset (4d, 1)$ . Pick  $X \in K$  such that  $S \cap (X-d, X+d)$  is uncountable and define  $T = \{2X-s: s \in S \cap (X-d, X+d)\}$ . Thus,  $T \subset (X-d, X+d)$  is uncountable. Choose uncountable subset  $T_1 \subset T$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $g[T_1] \subset (\varepsilon, 1)$ . We can pick  $a, b, c \in T_1$  such that  $a < b < c < a + \varepsilon$ . Now, from points  $a, b, c$  and  $X$  we can reconstruct  $A, B, C, D, a', b', c'$  as described above.

Edges  $AB, BC$  and  $AC$  are in  $G_g$ , since  $a, b, c$  satisfy (4) and (5).

To see that edges  $AD, BD$  and  $CD$  are in  $G_g$  notice that  $a', b', c' \in S$ , i.e.,  $g(a') > 4d, g(b') > 4d$  and  $g(c') > 4d$ . To finish the proof it is enough to notice that  $a, b, c, a', b', c' \in (X-d, X+d)$ , i.e., that  $\delta < 2d$ , since this implies (8).  $\square$

The following questions seems to be intriguing in light of the previous theorem.

**Problem 1** *Can we embed  $K_5$  into  $G_g$  for every  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ?*

## References

- [1] N. G. de Bruin, P. Erdős, *A colour problem for infinite graphs and a problem in the theory of relations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1951), 371–373.
- [2] K. Ciesielski, L. Larson, *Uniformly antisymmetric functions*, Real Analysis Exch. **19**(1) (1993–94), 226–235.
- [3] P. Kostyrko, *There is no strongly locally antisymmetric set*, Real Analysis Exch. **17**(2) (1991–92), 423–425.
- [4] P. Komjáth and S. Shelah, *On uniformly antisymmetric functions*, Real Analysis Exch. **19**(1) (1993–94), 218–225.