

ISOMETRICALLY INVARIANT EXTENSIONS OF LEBESGUE MEASURE

KRZYSZTOF CIESIELSKI

(Communicated by R. Daniel Mauldin)

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this note is to give a very short prove of the theorem thta every isometrically invariant measure extending Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n has a proper isometrically invariant extension, i.e., that there is no maximal isometrically invariant extension of Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n .

All the measures that we will consider in this note will be countable additive isometrically invariant extensions of Lebesgue measure on n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n . By isometries we will understand bijections of \mathbf{R}^n that preserve standard Euclidean distance. All the algebraic and measure theoretical terminology that will be used is standard and follows from [La, Ru] respectively.

The first construction of a proper isometrically invariant extension of Lebesgue measure goes back to Szpilrajn's paper [Sz] of 1935. In the same paper, Szpilrajn stated Sierpinski's question: "Does there exist a maximal isometrically invariant extension of Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n ?" A negative answer to this question, i.e., the theorem "every isometrically invariant measure that extends Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n has a proper isometrically invariant extension," was proved by several mathematicians under different additional assumptions and restrictions (see [Pk, Hu, Ha]). Without any assumption the theorem was proved in 1983 by Ciesielski and Pelc (see [CP]). For more historical details of this issue see also [Ci1]. The purpose of this note is to give a very short proof of the theorem that is different from that of [CP] and follows from the general technique introduced by the author in [Ci2].

Theorem. *Let $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an isometrically invariant extension of Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n . Then there exists a proper isometrically invariant extension of μ .*

The proof will be based on the following easy and well-known lemmas.

Lemma 1 (Szpilrajn). *Let $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an isometrically invariant measure on \mathbf{R}^n . If a family \mathcal{A} of subsets of \mathbf{R}^n is closed under countable union,*

Received by the editors October 30, 1989; the content of this paper has been presented to Sixth Annual Auburn Miniconference on Real Analysis on April 7, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 28C10.

This research was sponsored by the NSF.

closed under isometries action (i.e., $g[A] \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and every isometry g) and such that every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ has μ inner measure zero, then μ has an isometrically invariant extension $\nu: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\nu(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. If \mathcal{F} is an ideal of subsets of \mathbf{R}^n generated by the family \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{N} stands for a σ -algebra generated by $\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{F}$ then all elements of \mathcal{N} are of the form $(M \cup I_1) \setminus I_2$ where $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that $\nu: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $\nu((M \cup I_1) \setminus I_2) = \mu(M)$ is a well-defined isometrically invariant measure on \mathbf{R}^n extending μ .

In the proof of the next lemma we use a method which goes back to Harazisvili's paper [Ha] (see also [Ci2]).

Lemma 2. Let $\mathbf{R}^n = \bigcup\{N_k: k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. If each N_k satisfies the condition

for every countable set $\{g_r: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of isometries there is an uncountable set H of isometries such that for every distinct $h_1, h_2 \in H$

$$(*) \quad h_1 \left(\bigcup\{g_r[N_k]: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \right) \cap h_2 \left(\bigcup\{g_r[N_k]: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \right) = \emptyset$$

then every isometrically invariant extension $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n has a proper isometrically invariant extension.

Proof. Let $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be an isometrically invariant extension of Lebesgue measure on \mathbf{R}^n . Define

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \left\{ \bigcup\{g_r[N_k]: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} : \text{where all } g_r \text{'s are isometries of } \mathbf{R}^n \right\}.$$

If $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is a subset of $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ then $h_1[M] \cap h_2[M] = \emptyset$ for every distinct h_1, h_2 from H . But $\mu(h[M]) = \mu(M)$ for every h from H . Moreover, measure μ is σ -finite as an extension of Lebesgue measure. This implies that $\mu(M) = 0$ and so A has μ inner measure zero.

Thus we proved that every \mathcal{A}_k satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1. Hence for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ there is an isometrically invariant extension ν_k of μ such that $\nu_k(N_k) = 0$. But all N_k 's cannot have μ measure zero. So some ν_k must be a proper extension of μ .

The following lemma is an elementary geometrical fact and will be left without the proof.

Lemma 3. Every isometry of \mathbf{R}^n can be represented as a superposition $t \circ L$ where t is a translation by a vector (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) and L is a linear transformation of \mathbf{R}^n represented by some $n \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) .

Proof of the theorem. By Lemma 2 it is enough to construct N_k 's such that $\mathbf{R}^n = \bigcup\{N_k: k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and each N_k satisfies condition (*).

Let \mathcal{B} be a transcendence base of \mathbf{R} over \mathbf{Q} and let us represent \mathcal{B} as $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_k: k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ where $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \dots$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_k$ is uncountable. Define

$$N_k = [\text{cl}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{B}_k))]^n,$$

where $\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{B}_k)$ is a field generated by \mathbf{Q} and \mathcal{B}_k and $\text{cl}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{B}_k))$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{B}_k)$ in \mathbf{R} . We have to prove that N_k 's satisfy (*).

So let us choose k and a countable set $\{g_r: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of isometries. There exists a countable set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that all g_r 's are defined over $\text{cl}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A}))$, i.e., that for each g_r the coefficients t_i 's and a_{ij} 's from Lemma 3 are in $\text{cl}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A}))$. Let $L = \text{cl}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Q}(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_k))$. Then

$$\bigcup\{g_r[N_k]: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \subset L^n.$$

Define

$$H = \{t_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_{k+1} \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}_k)\},$$

where t_α is a translation by a vector $(\alpha, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$: Then H is uncountable and for distinct $\alpha, \beta \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_\alpha \left(\bigcup\{g_r[N_k]: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \right) \cap t_\beta \left(\bigcup\{g_r[N_k]: r = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \right) \\ \subset t_\alpha(L^n) \cap t_\beta(L^n) = \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

as $\alpha - \beta \notin L$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [Ci1] K. Ciesielski, *How good is Lebesgue measure?*, Math. Intelligencer **11** (1989), 54–58.
- [Ci2] ———, *Algebraically invariant extensions of σ -finite measures on Euclidean space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **315** (1989).
- [CP] K. Ciesielski and A. Pelc, *Extensions of invariant measures on Euclidean spaces*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), 1–10.
- [Ha] A. B. Harazisvili, *On Sierpinski's problem concerning strict extendibility of an invariant measure*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **81** (1977), 71–74.
- [Hu] A. Hulanicki, *Invariant extensions of the Lebesgue measure*, Fund. Math. **51** (1962), 111–115.
- [La] S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1984.
- [Pk] S. S. Pkhakadze, *K teorii lebegovskoi miery*, Trudy Tbiliss. Mat. Inst. Razmadze Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR, vol. 25, 1958. (Russian)
- [Ru] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [Sz] E. Szpilrajn, *Sur l'extension de la mesure lebesguienne*, Fund. Math. **25** (1935), 551–558. (French)