

# MATH 793C

-

# TOPOLOGY

Jerzy Woźciechowski

Spring 2020

Class 10

February 5

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally finite iff for every  $x \in X$  there is a nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $\{F \in \mathcal{F} : U \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.

We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -locally finite iff there are  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \cup \dots$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is locally finite for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A top. space  $X$  is paracompact if it is Hausdorff and for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exist a locally finite open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  that is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

## Reminder

Assume  $X$  is  $T_3$ . Then  $X$  is paracompact if and only if

⊛ for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$  there exist a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -locally finite open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X$  that is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Let  $X$  be a top. space.

A development for  $X$  is a sequence  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  of open covers of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{\text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a nbhd base at  $x$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Lemma (not in the textbook)

Let  $X$  be a topological space such that  $(*)$  holds and there exists a development for  $X$ . Then  $X$  has a  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite base.

Proof later

Corollary

Every metrizable space has a  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite base.

Proof

Let  $X$  be a metrizable space.

We have proved last semester that such  $X$  is paracompact.

Thus  $(*)$  holds for  $X$ .

Moreover  $X$  has a development.

Thus the Lemma implies that  $X$  has a  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite base.

### Theorem 23.9 (Nagata, Smirnov)

A topological space is metrizable if and only if it is  $T_3$  and has a  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite base.

Proof  $\Rightarrow$  is clear

$\Leftarrow$  will be proved later

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of subsets of  $X$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is discrete iff for every  $x \in X$  there is a nbhd  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that

$$|\{F \in \mathcal{F} : U \cap F \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 1.$$

We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}$ -discrete iff there are  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \cup \dots$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is discrete for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Remark (Bing Theorem)

A topological space is metrizable if and only if it is  $T_3$  and has a  $\mathfrak{z}$ -discrete base.

Proof  $\Rightarrow$  (Exercise. Hint: Look at the proof of the theorem last semester that every metr. space is paracompact and modify the Lemma above as required)

$\Leftarrow$  follows from  $\Leftarrow$  in the Nagata-Smirnov theorem.

A Moore space is a regular Hausdorff space with a development.

Corollary

A Moore space is metrizable if and only if it is paracompact.

Proof

Assume  $X$  is a paracompact Moore space.

Then  $X$  satisfies  $(*)$  and has a development.

Thus  $X$  has a  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite base.

Since  $X$  is also  $T_3$ , the Nagata-Smirnov Theorem implies that  $X$  is metrizable.

Proof of the Lemma

Let  $X$  be a top. satisfying  $(*)$  and having a development  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$ .

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an open cover of  $X$ .

Thus there exists an open cover  $\mathcal{V}_n$  of  $X$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -locally finite and refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathcal{Z}$ -locally finite.

It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is base.

Let  $U$  be open in  $X$  and  $x \in U$ .

Since  $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, \dots$  is a development, there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $St(x, \mathcal{U}_n) \subseteq U$ .

$\mathcal{V}_n$  is a cover of  $X$  so there is  $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$  s.t.  $x \in V$ .

Since  $\mathcal{V}_n$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_n$ , there is  $U' \in \mathcal{U}_n$  s.t.  $V \subseteq U'$ .

Thus  $x \in U'$ , so  $U' \subseteq St(x, \mathcal{U}_n)$ . Hence  $U' \subseteq U$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base.

## Proof of the Nagata-Smirnov Theorem

Let  $X$  be a  $T_3$ -space with a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -locally finite base.

Claim:  $X$  is paracompact.

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -locally finite base for  $X$ .

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and each  $x \in U$ , let

$B_{U,x} \in \mathcal{B}$  be such that  $x \in B_{U,x} \subseteq U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{B_{U,x} : U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } x \in U\}$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

It is also clear that  $\mathcal{V}$  is an open cover of  $X$ .

Since  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite, it follows that  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\mathfrak{z}$ -locally finite.

Thus  $X$  is paracompact and the claim is proved.

It follows that  $X$  is normal.