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#### Abstract

A basis of the cycle space $\mathcal{C}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is $h$-fold if each edge of $G$ occurs in at most $h$ cycles of the basis. The basis number $b(G)$ of $G$ is the least integer $h$ such that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ has an $h$-fold basis. MacLane [3] showed that a graph $G$ is planar if and only if $b(G) \leq 2$. Schmeichel [4] proved that $b\left(K_{n}\right) \leq 3$, and Banks and Schmeichel [2] proved that $b\left(K_{2}^{d}\right) \leq 4$ where $K_{2}^{d}$ is the $d$-dimesional hypercube. We show that $b\left(K_{n}^{d}\right) \leq 9$ for any $n$ and $d$, where $K_{n}^{d}$ is the cartesian $d$-th power of the complete graph $K_{n}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph, and let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{q}$ be an enumeration of its edges. Then, any subset $S$ of $E(G)$ corresponds to a $(0,1)$-vector $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{q}\right) \in\left(Z_{2}\right)^{q}$ with $a_{i}=1$ if $e_{i} \in S$ and $a_{i}=0$ if $e_{i} \notin S$. Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$, called the cycle space of $G$, be the subspace of $\left(Z_{2}\right)^{q}$ generated by the vectors corresponding to the cycles in $G$. We will identify the elements of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ with the corresponding subsets of $E(G)$. It is well known that if $G$ is connected, then the dimension of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is given by the following formula:

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C}(G))=q-p+1
$$

where $p$ and $q$ denote, respectively, the number of vertices and edges of $G$. In fact, if $T$ is a spanning tree in $G$ and for every $e \in E(G) \backslash E(T)$ we denote by $C_{e}$ the unique cycle with $E\left(C_{e}\right) \subseteq E(T) \cup\{e\}$, then the collection

$$
B_{T}=\left\{E\left(C_{e}\right): e \in E(G) \backslash E(T)\right\}
$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{C}(G)$, called the fundamental basis corresponding to $T$.
Let $B$ be any basis of $\mathcal{C}(G)$, and $h$ be a positive integer. We say that $B$ is $h$-fold if each edge of $G$ occurs in at most $h$ cycles of $B$. The basis number of $G$ (denoted by $b(G))$ is the smallest integer $h$ such that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ has an $h$-fold basis.

The first important result concerning the basis number of a graph was the following theorem of MacLane [3].

Theorem $1 A$ graph $G$ is planar if and only if $b(G) \leq 2$.
Schmeichel [4] proved that there are graphs with arbitrary large basis numbers, and generalized the "only if" part of Theorem 1 by showing that

$$
b(G) \leq 2 \gamma(G)+2
$$

for any graph $G$, where $\gamma(G)$ is the genus of $G$. Moreover, Schmeichel [4] proved the following result.

Theorem 2 For every integer $n \geq 5$, the basis number of the complete graph $K_{n}$ is equal to 3.

Note that Theorems 1 and 2 imply that the basis number of any complete graph is at most 3.

Let $K_{2}^{d}$ be the $d$-dimensional hypercube, that is, the $d$-th cartesian power of the complete graph $K_{2}$. Banks and Schmeichel [2] proved the following result about the basis number of the hypercube.

Theorem 3 For every integer $d \geq 7$, the basis number of the hypercube $K_{2}^{d}$ is equal to 4 .

In this paper, we are interested in establishing an upper bound on the basis number of the $d$-th cartesian power of any complete graph.

For completeness, let us recall the definition of the cartesian product of two graphs. The product $G \times H$ of $G$ and $H$ is the graph with $V(G) \times V(H)$ as the vertex set and $\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ adjacent to $\left(g_{2}, h_{2}\right)$ if either $g_{1} g_{2} \in E(G)$ and $h_{1}=h_{2}$, or else if $g_{1}=g_{2}$ and $h_{1} h_{2} \in E(H)$. Let $K_{n}^{d}$ be the product of $d$ copies of the complete graph $K_{n}, n \geq 2, d \geq 1$.

Ali and Marougi [1] proved the following result on the cartesian product of graphs.

Theorem 4 For any two connected graphs $G$ and $H$, we have

$$
b(G \times H) \leq \max \left\{b(G)+\Delta\left(T_{H}\right), b(H)+\Delta\left(T_{G}\right)\right\}
$$

where $T_{G}$ denotes a spanning tree of $G$ with maximal degree as small as possible, and $\Delta\left(T_{G}\right)$ denotes the maximal degree of $T_{G}$.

Applying Theorems 2 and 4, we get easily the following two corollaries.

Corollary 5 For every $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
b\left(K_{n}^{2^{k}}\right) \leq 2 k+3
$$

Proof. It follows from Theorems 1 and 2 that $b\left(K_{n}^{2^{0}}\right) \leq 3$. Assume now that $k \geq 1$ and that $b\left(K_{n}^{2^{k-1}}\right) \leq 2(k-1)+3$. Since $K_{n}^{2^{k}}=K_{n}^{2^{k-1}} \times K_{n}^{2^{k-1}}$ and since any power of a complete graph is hamiltonian, it follows from Theorem 4 that

$$
b\left(K_{n}^{2^{k}}\right) \leq b\left(K_{n}^{2^{k-1}}\right)+2 \leq 2 k+3,
$$

and the proof is complete.

Corollary 6 For every $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$, we have

$$
b\left(K_{n}^{d}\right) \leq 2 \log _{2} d+5
$$

Proof. We show, by induction on $k$, that if $d$ is an integer satisfying $2^{k-1} \leq$ $d \leq 2^{k}$, then $b\left(K_{n}^{d}\right) \leq 2 k+3$. For $k=0$ and $k=1$, the claim follows from Corollary 5 . Assume that $k \geq 2$, and that our claim holds for smaller values of $k$. Let $d$ satisfy $2^{k-1} \leq d \leq 2^{k}$, and let $d_{1}=\lfloor d / 2\rfloor$ and $d_{2}=\lceil d / 2\rceil$. Then

$$
2^{k-2} \leq d_{1}, d_{2} \leq 2^{k-1}
$$

so it follows from the inductive hypothesis that $b\left(K_{n}^{d_{1}}\right) \leq 2(k-1)+3$ and $b\left(K_{n}^{d_{2}}\right) \leq 2(k-1)+3$. Using Theorem 4 and the fact that any power of a complete graph is hamiltonian, we get

$$
b\left(K_{n}^{2^{k}}\right) \leq \max \left\{b\left(K_{n}^{d_{1}}\right)+2, b\left(K_{n}^{d_{2}}\right)+2\right\} \leq 2 k+3,
$$

completing the proof of the claim.

It follows from the claim that

$$
b\left(K_{n}^{d}\right) \leq 2\left\lceil\log _{2} d\right\rceil+3 \leq 2 \log _{2} d+5,
$$

completing the proof.
In view of Theorem 3 saying that the basis number of a hypercube has a constant upper bound, a natural question arises: does there exist a constant $c$ that is independent of $n$ and $d$ such that the basis number of $K_{n}^{d}$ is bounded from above by $c$ for arbitrary values of $n$ and $d$ ? Using a generalization of the technique developed by Banks and Schmeichel [2], we will show that the answer to the above question is positive. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 7 For every $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 1$, the basis number of $K_{n}^{d}$ is at most 9 .

## 2 Preliminary lemmas

Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. We are going first to define, recursively, a collection $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ of cycles in $K_{n}^{d}$, for every $d \geq 1$. We will show later that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ is a 9-fold basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$.

It will be convenient to think of the vertices of $K_{n}^{d}$ as $d$-tuples of $n$-ary digits, i.e., the elements from the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with two such $d$-tuples being adjacent if and only if they differ at exactly one coordinate.

Given $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and a vertex $u=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ of $K_{n}^{d}$, let $u^{(i)}$ denote the vertex of $K_{n}^{d+1}$ that is obtained from $u$ by adjoining the digit $i$ at the end, i.e., let

$$
u^{(i)}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}, i\right) .
$$

If $G$ is any subgraph of the graph $K_{n}^{d}$, then $G^{(i)}$ will denote the isomorphic copy of $G$ in $K_{n}^{d+1}$ obtained by adjoining the digit $i$ at the end of each vertex
of $G$, and if $\mathcal{R}$ is a collection of subgraphs of $K_{n}^{d}$, then let

$$
\mathcal{R}^{(i)}=\left\{G^{(i)}: G \in \mathcal{R}\right\},
$$

$i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.
For any $d \geq 1$, let

$$
\tau_{d}=\left(t(d, 1), t(d, 2), \cdots, t\left(d, n^{d}-1\right)\right)
$$

be a sequence of integers defined recursively as follows. Take

$$
\tau_{1}=(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-1})
$$

and for $d>1$, define $\tau_{d}$ by:

$$
t(d, i)= \begin{cases}t(d-1, k) & \text { if } i=n k \\ d & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, for example, we have

$$
\tau_{2}=(\underbrace{(\underbrace{2,2, \ldots, 2}_{n-1}}_{n-1}, 1, \underbrace{2,2, \ldots, 2}_{n-1}, 1, \ldots, 1, \underbrace{2,2, \ldots, 2}_{n-1}, 1, \underbrace{2,2, \ldots, 2}_{n-1}) .
$$

We will use the sequence $\tau_{d}$ to define a Hamiltonian path in $K_{n}^{d}$.
Given a pair $u, v$ of vertices of $K_{n}^{d}$ and $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we say that the vertex $v$ is an $i$-successor of the vertex $u$ if the $i$-th coordinate of $v$ is equal to the $i$-th coordinate of $u$ plus 1 modulo $n$ and all other coordinates of $u$ and $v$ are the same. Let

$$
W_{d}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n^{d}}\right)
$$

be a sequence of vertices in $K_{n}^{d}$ defined as follows. Take $w_{1}$ to be arbitrary and, for every $i$ with $1 \leq i<n^{d}$, let $w_{i+1}$ be the $t(d, i)$-successor of $w_{i}$. The sequence $W_{d}$ will be called the $\tau_{d}$-sequence starting at $w_{1}$.

Lemma 8 For any vertex $u$ of $K_{n}^{d}$, the $\tau_{d}$-sequence starting at $u$ is a Hamiltonian path in $K_{n}^{d}$.

Proof. We use induction with respect to $d$. For $d=1$, the result is obvious. Assume that $d \geq 2$, and that for any vertex $u$ of $K_{n}^{d-1}$ the $\tau_{d-1}$-sequence starting at $u$ is a Hamiltonian path in $K_{n}^{d-1}$. Let $v$ be any vertex of $K_{n}^{d}$, and $W_{d}$ be the $\tau_{d}$-sequence starting at $v$. We will show that $W_{d}$ is a Hamiltonian path in $K_{n}^{d}$. Let $i$ be the last digit of $v$, let $w_{1}$ be the vertex of $K_{n}^{d-1}$ such that $v=w_{1}^{(i)}$, and let

$$
W_{d-1}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}\right)
$$

be the $\tau_{d-1}$-sequence starting at $w_{1}$. It follows from the definition of $\tau_{d}$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{d}=\left(w_{1}^{(i)}, w_{1}^{(i+1)}, \ldots, w_{1}^{(i+n-1)}, w_{2}^{(i-1)}, w_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots, w_{2}^{(i+n-2)},\right. \\
\left.\ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}^{(i+1)}, w_{n^{d-1}}^{(i+2)}, \ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}^{(i+n)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the top indexes are taken modulo $n$. Since $W_{d-1}$ is a Hamiltonian path in $K_{n}^{d-1}$, it is clear from the above representation that $W_{d}$ is a Hamiltonian path in $K_{n}^{d}$, and so the proof is complete.

The $\tau_{d}$-sequence starting at $(1,1, \ldots, 1,0)$ will be called the $\tau_{d}$-path and, in the remainder of this chapter, we reserve the symbol $W_{d}$ for the $\tau_{d}$-path, $d \geq 1$.

Given an integer $d \geq 2$, assume that the $\tau_{d-1}$-path $W_{d-1}$ is equal to the following sequence of vertices

$$
W_{d-1}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}\right) .
$$

Then the sequence

$$
W_{d-1}^{(j)}=\left(w_{1}^{(j)}, w_{2}^{(j)}, \ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}^{(j)}\right)
$$

is a path in $K_{n}^{d}$, for every $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. For every $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}\right\}$, let $J_{i}$ be the subgraph of $K_{n}^{d}$ induced by the set of vertices

$$
\left\{w_{i}^{(j)}: j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}\right\} .
$$

Clearly, the graph $J_{i}$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}$ for each $i$. As we saw earlier, $b\left(K_{n}\right) \leq 3$. For every $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}\right\}$, let $D_{i}$ be a 3 -fold basis of $J_{i}$, and let

$$
\mathcal{D}_{d}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n^{d-1}} D_{i}
$$

For every $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}$ and $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$, let $C_{i, j}$ be the following 4-cycle

$$
C_{i, j}=\left(w_{i}^{(j)}, w_{i+1}^{(j)}, w_{i+1}^{(j+1)}, w_{i}^{(j+1)}, w_{i}^{(j)}\right),
$$

and let

$$
\mathcal{F}_{d}=\left\{C_{i, j}: i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\} \text { and } j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}\right\} .
$$

Now we are ready to define the collection $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ of cycles in $K_{n}^{d}$. For $d=1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ be any 3 -fold basis of $K_{n}$. Assume now that $d \geq 2$ and that the collection $\mathcal{B}_{d-1}$ has been defined. Define $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ by:

$$
\mathcal{B}_{d}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_{d-1}^{(i)} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}
$$

To show that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ is a 9 -fold basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$, we will need some prelimary results.

Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that

$$
W_{d-1}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}\right)
$$

is the $\tau_{d-1}$-path. For every $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}$ and every $j, k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $j \neq k$, let $C_{i, j, k}$ be the following 4-cycle

$$
C_{i, j, k}=\left(w_{i}^{(j)}, w_{i+1}^{(j)}, w_{i+1}^{(k)}, w_{i}^{(k)}, w_{i}^{(j)}\right) .
$$

Note that $C_{i, j,(j+1)}$ is equal to the cycle $C_{i, j}$ defined before. Let

$$
\mathcal{E}_{d}=\left\{C_{i, j, k}: i \in\left\{1,2, \cdots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}, j, k \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-2\}, j<k\right\} .
$$

Recall that $J_{n^{d-1}}$ is the subgraph of $K_{n}^{d}$ induced by the set of vertices

$$
\left\{w_{n^{d-1}}^{(j)}: j=0,1, \ldots, n-1\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime}=D_{n^{d-1}}$ be the 3 -fold basis of $J_{n^{d-1}}$ that is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{d}$.
If $\mathcal{R}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d-1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{(i)}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}$ by adding the digit $i$ at the end of each vertex of each cycle, then the collection

$$
\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{(i)}
$$

of cycles in $K_{n}^{d}$ will be called the lift of $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}$.
Lemma 9 If $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d-1}\right)$, then $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{E}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}$ be any basis of $K_{n}^{d-1}$ and let

$$
\mathcal{R}_{d}=\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{E}_{d}
$$

Since the graph $K_{n}^{d}$ has $n^{d}$ vertices and is $(n-1) d$-regular, it has $n^{d}(n-1) d / 2$ edges, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)=\frac{n^{d}(n-1) d}{2}-n^{d}+1=n^{d}\left(\frac{(n-1) d}{2}-1\right)+1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|\mathcal{R}_{d-1}\right|=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d-1}\right)=n^{d-1}\left(\frac{(n-1)(d-1)}{2}-1\right)+1
$$

and

$$
\left|\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+}\right|=n\left|\mathcal{R}_{d-1}\right|=n^{d}\left(\frac{(n-1)(d-1)}{2}-1\right)+n .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{E}_{d}\right|=\left(n^{d-1}-1\right) \frac{n(n-1)}{2}=\frac{n^{d}(n-1)}{2}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime}\right|=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}\right)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-n+1
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{R}_{d}\right| & =\left|\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+}\right|+\left|\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime}\right|+\left|\mathcal{E}_{d}\right| \\
& =n^{d}\left(\frac{(n-1)(d-1)}{2}-1\right)+\frac{n^{d}(n-1)}{2}+1 \\
& =n^{d}\left(\frac{(n-1) d}{2}-1\right)+1 \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus to prove that $\mathcal{R}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$, it suffices to show that the cycles of $\mathcal{R}_{d}$ are linearly independent.

Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is a nonempty subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{d}$ such that

$$
\sum_{C \in S} C=0 \bmod 2
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d-1}\right)$, the set $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{(i)}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$, for every $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Since any cycle in $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{(i)}$ is edge-disjoint from any cycle in $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{(j)}$ for $j \neq i$, the set $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+}$is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$. Since the set $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime}$ is a basis of $J_{n^{d-1}}$, and since no cycle in $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime}$ share an
edge with a cycle in $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+}$, it follows that $\mathcal{R}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$. Therefore $S$ must include at least one cycle $\mathcal{E}_{d}$, i.e. there are $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}$ and $j, k \in\{0,1, \cdots, n-2\}$ with $j \neq k$ such that $C_{i, j, k} \in S$.

We claim that $C_{1, j, k} \in S$. Indeed, if $i=1$, then we are done. If $i>$ 1, then since $C_{i, j, k}$ contains the edge $w_{i}^{(j)} w_{i}^{(k)}$ and the only other cycle in $\mathcal{R}_{d}$ containing the edge $w_{i}^{(j)} w_{i}^{(k)}$ is $C_{i-1, j, k}$, we conclude that $C_{i-1, j, k} \in S$. Continuing by induction we get $C_{1, j, k} \in S$, and so the proof of the claim is complete.

Since the cycle $C_{1, j, k}$ contains the edge $w_{1}^{(j)} w_{1}^{(k)}$ which occurs in no other cycle of $\mathcal{R}_{d}$, and in particular in no other cycle of $S$ we have a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{R}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Let $G_{d}$ be the spanning subgraph of $K_{n}^{d}$ with $E\left(G_{d}\right)$ consisting of all the edges of the paths

$$
W_{d-1}^{(j)}=\left(w_{1}^{(j)}, w_{2}^{(j)}, \ldots, w_{n^{d-1}}^{(j)}\right)
$$

for $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, and all the edges of the graphs $J_{i}$ for $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}\right\}$, i.e., let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(G_{d}\right)= & \left\{w_{i}^{(j)} w_{i}^{(k)}: i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}\right\} ; j, k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\} ; j \neq k\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{w_{i}^{(j)} w_{i+1}^{(j)}: i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}, j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the graph $G_{d}$ is isomorphic to the cartesian product of the complete graph $K_{n}$ with a path of length $n^{d-1}$.

Lemma 10 The union $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(G_{d}\right)$.
Proof. Since for each $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}\right\}$ the graph $J_{i}$ has $n(n-1) / 2$ edges, it follows that

$$
\left|E\left(G_{d}\right)\right|=n^{d}(n-1) / 2+n\left(n^{d-1}-1\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(G_{d}\right) & =\left(\frac{n^{d}(n-1)}{2}+n\left(n^{d-1}-1\right)\right)-n^{d}+1 \\
& =\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) n^{d}-n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_{d}$ consists of $n^{d-1}$ disjoint copies of a basis of $K_{n}$, it follows that

$$
\left|\mathcal{D}_{d}\right|=n^{d-1}\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-n+1\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{d}\right|=\left(n^{d-1}-1\right)(n-1)
$$

we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}\right| & =n^{d-1}\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}-n+1\right)+\left(n^{d-1}-1\right)(n-1) \\
& =\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) n^{d}-n+1  \tag{2}\\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(G_{d}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, to show that $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(G_{d}\right)$ it suffices to show that the cycles of $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ are linearly independent. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is $S \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ such that

$$
\sum_{C \in S} C=0 \bmod 2 .
$$

Since the graphs $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{n^{d-1}}$ are mutually vertex disjoint and $D_{i}$ is a basis of $J_{i}$ for each $i$, it follows that the set $\mathcal{D}_{d}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{C}\left(G_{d}\right)$. Therefore $S$ must contain at least one cycle from $\mathcal{F}_{d}$, i.e. the are $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}$ and $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}$ such that

$$
C_{i, j}=\left(w_{i}^{(j)}, w_{i+1}^{(j)}, w_{i+1}^{(j+1)}, w_{i}^{(j+1)}, w_{i}^{(j)}\right) \in S .
$$

We claim that $C_{i, 1} \in S$. Indeed, if $j>0$, then the cycle

$$
C_{i, j-1}=\left(w_{i}^{(j-1)}, w_{i+1}^{(j-1)}, w_{i+1}^{(j)}, w_{i}^{(j)}, w_{i}^{(j-1)}\right)
$$

is the only other cycle of $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ containing the edge $w_{i}^{(j)} w_{i+1}^{(j)}$. Since $C_{i, j} \in S$, it follows that $C_{i, j-1} \in S$. Continuing by induction, we see that $S$ must contain the cycle $C_{i, 1}$, and so the proof of the claim is complete.

Since $C_{i, 1}$ is the only cycle of $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ containing the edge $w_{i}^{(0)} w_{i+1}^{(0)}$, we have a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(G_{d}\right)$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

## 3 Proof of the main result

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 7. It suffices to establish that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ is a 9 -fold basis of $K_{n}^{d}$.

Theorem 11 For each $d \geq 1$, the set $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ is a basis for $K_{n}^{d}$.
Proof. We are going to use induction with respect to $d$. For $d=1, \mathcal{B}_{1}$ is a basis of $K_{n}$ by the definition. Assume that $d \geq 2$ and that $\mathcal{B}_{d-1}$ is a basis of $K_{n}^{d-1}$. By the definition, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{d}=\mathcal{B}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}
$$

It follows from (2) and (1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{B}_{d}\right| & =n \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d-1}\right)+\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) n^{d}-n+1 \\
& =n\left(n^{d-1}\left(\frac{(n-1)(d-1)}{2}-1\right)+1\right)+\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) n^{d}-n+1 \\
& =n^{d}\left(\frac{(n-1)(d-1)}{2}-1\right)+\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) n^{d}+1 \\
& =n^{d}\left(\frac{(n-1) d}{2}-1\right)+1 \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, to prove that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$ it suffices to show that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ spans $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$. Since it follows from Lemma 9 that $\mathcal{B}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{E}_{d}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ spans $\mathcal{B}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{E}_{d}$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{d}$, we only need to show that $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ spans $\mathcal{E}_{d}$. Since each cycle of $\mathcal{E}_{d}$ is a cycle in the graph $G_{d}$, it follows from Lemma 10 that $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d}$ spans $\mathcal{E}_{d}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \mathcal{F}_{d} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{B}_{d}$, the proof is complete.

Given $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, an edge $e$ of $K_{n}^{d}$ is said to be of type $k$ if and only if the vertices of $e$ differ in exactly the $k$-th coordinate. Two edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ of $K_{n}^{d}$ are said to be $k$-correspondent if and only if the two vertices of $e_{2}$ can be obtained by changing the $k$-th coordinate of the vertices of $e_{1}$. The edge $e_{2}$ is an $k$-successor of $e_{1}$ if and only if the $k$-coordinates of the endpoints of $e_{2}$ are obtained from the $k$-coordinates of the endpoints of $e_{1}$ by adding 1 modulo $n$. Note that if two edges of $K_{n}^{d}$ are $\ell$-correspondent, then they must be of the same type $k$, with $k \neq \ell$.

Assume that $d \geq 3$. Recall that, for each $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, the path $W_{d-1}^{(i)}$ is the path in $K_{n}^{d}$ obtained by adding the digit $i$ at the end of each vertex of the $\tau_{d-1}$-path $W_{d-1}$.

Lemma 12 If $A$ is the set of all $n$ mutually $(d-1)$-correspondent edges of $K_{n}^{d}$, then at most one edge of $A$ can be an edge of the path $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$.

Proof. Let $A=\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}\right\}$ be the set of all mutually $(d-1)$ correspondent edges, with $e_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}$ and such that the $(d-1)$-coordinate of $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ is equal to $i$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Assume that the edges in $A$ are of type $k$. Since the path $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$ does not have any edges of type $d$, we can assume that $k \leq d-2$.

Suppose that $e_{0}$ occurs in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$. Since $W_{d-1}$ is the $\tau_{d-1}$-path, and since each occurrence of $k$ in $\tau_{d-1}$ is followed by $n-1$ entries equal to $d-1$, the vertices following $v_{0}$ in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$ must be $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}$, i.e.,

$$
W_{d-1}^{(0)}=\left(\ldots, u_{0}, v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-1}, \ldots\right) .
$$

Since $n \geq 3$, the vertex $u_{n-1}$ cannot follow $v_{n-1}$ in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$. Therefore neither of the edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ can occur in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Lemma 13 If $d \geq 3$ and $k \leq d-2$, then the number of terms with value $d$ preceeding any occurence of $k$ in $\tau_{d}$ is divisible by $n$.

Proof. Let $\alpha_{d}$ be the sequence defined by

$$
\alpha_{d}=\underbrace{\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-1}, d-1, \underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-1}, d-1, \ldots, \underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-1}, d-1}_{n-1}, \underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-1}) .
$$

It is clear from the construction that the sequence $\tau_{d}$ consists of copies of the sequence $\alpha_{d}$ with a single term having value at most $d-2$ between any two consecutive copies of $\alpha_{d}$. Since the number of terms with value $d$ in $\alpha_{d}$
is equal to $n(n-1)$, each term with value $k \leq d-2$ in $\tau_{d}$ is preceeded by a multiple of $n$ of terms with value $d$. This completes the proof.

For each $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}$, let $e_{j, k}$ denote the $j$-th edge of type $k$ of the path $W_{d}$ and let $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ be the $j$-th edge of type $k$ in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$. Note that if $k \leq d-1$, then the number edges of type $k$ in $W_{d}$ is the same as the number of edges of type $k$ in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$, so for each $j$, the edge $e_{j, k}$ exists if and only if $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ exists.

Lemma 14 If $k \leq d-2$, then the edge $e_{j, k}$ is the $(d-1)$-successor of $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$, for every $j$ such that both $e_{j, k}$ and $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ exist.

Proof. Assume that $k \leq d-2$ and that $j$ is such that both $e_{j, k}$ and $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ exist. Let $u, v$ be the endpoints of $e_{j, k}$ (with $u$ appearing before $v$ in $W_{d}$ ) and $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ be the endpoints of $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ (with $u^{\prime}$ appearing before $v^{\prime}$ in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$ ). It is enough to show that $u$ is the $(d-1)$-successor of $u^{\prime}$. Note that the first vertex of $W_{d}$ is equal to $(1,1, \ldots, 1,0)$, and the first vertex of $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$ is equal to $(1,1, \ldots, 1,0,0)$. Thus the the first vertex of $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$ is the $(d-1)$-successor of the first vertex of $W_{d-2}^{(0,0)}$.

Let $\xi_{\ell}^{m}$ be the number of terms with value $m$ preceeding the $j$-th occurrence of $k$ in the sequence $\tau_{\ell}$, for every $m=1,2, \cdots, d$ and $\ell=d-1, d$. To prove that $u$ is the $(d-1)$-successor of $u^{\prime}$, we need to show that

$$
\xi_{d}^{m} \equiv \xi_{d-1}^{m} \bmod n
$$

for every $m=1,2, \ldots, d$.
Indeed, by the definition of $\tau_{d}$, we have $\xi_{d}^{m}=\xi_{d-1}^{m}$ for every $m \leq d-1$. Clearly $\xi_{d-1}^{d}=0$, and since $k \leq d-2$, it follows from Lemma 13 that $\xi_{d}^{d}$ is divisible by $n$. Therefore, $u$ is the $(d-1)$-successor of $u^{\prime}$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 7 follows immediately from the following result.

Theorem 15 The set $\mathcal{B}_{d}$ is a 9-fold basis of $\mathcal{C}\left(K_{n}^{d}\right)$ for every $d \geq 1$.
Proof. For every $i \in\left\{1,2, \cdots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}$ let $C_{i, n-1}$ be the 4-cycle

$$
C_{i, n-1}=\left(w_{i}^{(n-1)}, w_{i+1}^{(n-1)}, w_{i+1}^{(0)}, w_{i}^{(0)}, w_{i}^{(n-1)}\right),
$$

and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{d} & =\mathcal{F}_{d} \cup\left\{C_{i, n-1}: i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\}\right\} \\
& =\left\{C_{i, j}: i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{d-1}-1\right\} \text { and } j \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define recursively the collection $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$ of cycles in $K_{n}^{d}$ by $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{1}=\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and

$$
\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}=\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}_{d} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{d},
$$

where

$$
\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{+}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{(i)}
$$

is the lift of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_{d} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$ for every $d \geq 1$. We will show, by induction with respect to $d$, that each edge $e$ of $K_{n}^{d}$ is used at most 9 times in the cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$, and moreover, that it is used at most 7 times in the cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$ when $e$ is an edge of the $\tau_{d}$-path $W_{d}$, and that $e$ is used at most 5 times in the cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$ if $e$ is of type $d$. The basis $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{1}$ is 3 -fold by its definition, and it is clear from the construction that each edge of $K_{n}^{2}$ appears in at most 5 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2}$, so our claim holds for $d=1$ and $d=2$.

Assume that $d \geq 3$, and that the set $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}$ satisfies the specified condition. Since any edge $e$ of $K_{n}^{d}$ of type $d$ appears in at most 3 cycles of the set $\mathcal{D}_{d}$, in at most 2 cycles of the set $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{d}$ and in no cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{+}$, the edge $e$ is used at most 5 times in the cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$. Assume now that $e$ is an edge of $K_{n}^{d}$ of type $k \leq d-1$. Clearly, the edge $e$ appears in no cycles of $\mathcal{D}_{d}$. If $e$ is an edge of the path $W_{d-1}^{(i)}$ for some $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then it appears in exactly 2
cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{d}$, and by the inductive hypothesis, it appears in at most 7 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{+}$. Otherwise, the edge $e$ appears in no cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{d}$ and in at most 9 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{+}$. To complete the proof, it remains to show that if $e$ is an edge of $W_{d}$ of type $k \leq d-1$, then it appears in at most 7 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$.

Let $e$ be an edge of type $k \leq d-1$ in the path $W_{d}$. Suppose first that $k=d-1$. Then it follows from the inductive hypothesis that $e$ appears in at most 5 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{+}$. Since $e$ appears in at most 2 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{d}$, it appears in at most 7 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$.

Now assume that $k \leq d-2$, and that $e=e_{j, k}$, i.e., that $e$ is the $j$-th edge of type $k$ in the path $W_{d}$. Consider the $j$-th edge $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ of type $k$ in $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$. By the inductive hypothesis, the edge $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ occurs in at most 7 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{(0)}$. By Lemma 14, $e_{j, k}$ is the $(d-1)$-successor of $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$. Since any two $(d-1)$ correspondent edges of $K_{n}^{d-1}$ appear in the same number of cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}$, it follows that the edges $e_{j, k}$ and $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ appear in the same number of cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{(0)}$. Therefore, $e_{j, k}$ appears in at most 7 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d-1}^{(0)}$. Since $e_{j, k}^{\prime}$ belongs to $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$, it follows from Lemma 12 that $e_{j, k}$ does not belong to $W_{d-1}^{(0)}$. Hence $e_{j, k}$ occurs in at most 7 cycles of $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{d}$ and the proof is complete.
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