

Theorem (uniform space subspace product).

Each uniform space is uniformly isomorphic to a subspace of a product of pseudometric spaces. A uniform space with separating uniformity is uniformly isomorphic to a subspace of a product of metric spaces.

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{D}) be a uniform space.

- Let \mathcal{P} be the family of all uniformly continuous pseudometrics on X .
- For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, let (Y_d, d) be the pseudometric space, where $Y_d = X$.
- Let $Y = \prod \{Y_d : d \in \mathcal{P}\}$ be the uniform space with the product uniformity.
- Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be defined by $f(x) = (y_d)_{d \in \mathcal{P}}$, where $y_d = x$ for each $d \in \mathcal{P}$.
- It is clear that f is injective.
- We show that f is a uniform isomorphism onto $f[X]$ (relative to \mathcal{D} and the subspace uniformity \mathcal{D}' on $f[X]$ inherited from the product uniformity on Y).
 - For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, let \mathcal{D}_d be the uniformity on $Y_d = X$ that is induced by d .
 - For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, the composition $\pi_d \circ f$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}_d , where $\pi_d : Y \rightarrow Y_d$ is the projection.
 - * $\pi_d \circ f : X \rightarrow Y_d$ is the identity function $X \rightarrow X$.
 - * Since the pseudometric d is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} , it follows that $\pi_d \circ f$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}_d .

- Let $\mathcal{D}'' = \{\hat{f}^{-1}[D'] : D' \in \mathcal{D}'\}$.
 - Since f is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}' , it follows that $\mathcal{D}'' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.
 - Note that $\pi_d \circ f : X \rightarrow Y_d$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D}'' and \mathcal{D}_d .
- It suffices to show that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D}'' and the product uniformity on Y .
- * Let D be a member of the product uniformity on Y .
 - * Then $D' := D \cap (f[X] \times f[X])$ is a member of \mathcal{D}' .
 - * It follows that $\hat{f}^{-1}[D] = \hat{f}^{-1}[D'] \in \mathcal{D}''$.
- Since \mathcal{D} is the weak uniformity on X induced by the family $\{(\mathcal{D}_d, \pi_d \circ f), d \in \mathcal{P}\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}''$.
 - Thus $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}''$ and so f is a uniform isomorphism onto $f[X]$.

- Assume that \mathcal{D} is separating.
- For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, let Z_d be a subspace of X obtained by selecting exactly one element from each equivalence class of the equivalence relation \sim_d on X defined by $x \sim_d y$ iff $d(x, y) = 0$.
- For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, let \mathcal{D}'_d be the uniformity on Z_d induced by the metric d .
- Let $Z = \prod \{Z_d : d \in \mathcal{P}\}$ be the product uniform space.
- Let $g : X \rightarrow Z$ be defined by $g(x) = (z_d : d \in \mathcal{P})$, where z_d is the unique element of Z_d such that $z_d \sim_d x$.
- Since \mathcal{D} is separating and since \mathcal{D} is induced by \mathcal{P} , it follows that if $x, y \in X$ are distinct, then there is $d \in \mathcal{P}$ with $d(x, y) > 0$.
 - Let $x, y \in X$ be distinct.
 - Since \mathcal{D} is separating, there is $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\langle x, y \rangle \notin D$.
 - Since \mathcal{D} is induced by \mathcal{P} , there exists finite nonempty $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ such that $\bigcap \{D_d : d \in \mathcal{P}'\} \subseteq D$, where D_d is a member of the uniformity on X that is induced by d for each $d \in \mathcal{P}'$.
 - For each $d \in \mathcal{P}'$ let $\varepsilon_d > 0$ be such that $d(w, z) < \varepsilon_d$ implies that $\langle w, z \rangle \in D_d$ for any $w, z \in X$.
 - Since $\langle x, y \rangle \notin D$, it follows that there is $d \in \mathcal{P}'$ such that $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon_d$.
 - Thus we have $d \in \mathcal{P}$ with $d(x, y) > 0$.
- Thus g is an injection.

- We show that g is a uniform isomorphism onto $g[X]$ (relative to \mathcal{D} and the subspace uniformity \mathcal{E} on $g[X]$ inherited from the product uniformity on Z).
 - For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, let \mathcal{D}_d be the uniformity on X that is induced by the pseudometric d .
 - Note that $\mathcal{D}'_d = \mathcal{D}_d \cap (Z_d \times Z_d)$.
 - We show that for each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, the composition $\pi_d \circ g$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}'_d , where $\pi_d : Z \rightarrow Z_d$ is the projection.
 - * Let $d \in \mathcal{P}$ be fixed.
 - * For each $x \in X$, denote $x_d := (\pi_d \circ g)(x)$.
 - * Let $D' \in \mathcal{D}'_d$ be arbitrary and define

$$D := \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times X : \langle x_d, y_d \rangle \in D'\}.$$
 - * It remains to show that $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
 - Since the pseudometric d is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} , it follows that $\mathcal{D}_d \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Thus it suffices to show that $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$.
 - Since $D' \in \mathcal{D}'_d$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that for any $w, z \in Z_d$ with $d(w, z) < \varepsilon$ we have $\langle w, z \rangle \in D'$.
 - Let $D'' := \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. Note that $D'' \in \mathcal{D}_d$.
 - If $\langle x, y \rangle \in D''$, then $d(x_d, y_d) = d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ so $\langle x_d, y_d \rangle \in D'$, which implies that $\langle x, y \rangle \in D$.
 - Since $D'' \subseteq D$ and $D'' \in \mathcal{D}_d$, it follows that $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$.
 - Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\hat{g}^{-1}[E] : E \in \mathcal{E}\}$.
 - Since g is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} , the definition of \mathcal{F} implies that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$.
 - Note that $\pi_d \circ g : X \rightarrow Z_d$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{D}'_d for each $d \in \mathcal{P}$.
 - * For each $d \in \mathcal{P}$, the projection π_d is uniformly continuous relative to the product uniformity on Z and \mathcal{D}'_d .
 - * Thus, it suffices to show that $g : X \rightarrow Z$ is uniformly continuous relative to \mathcal{F} and the product uniformity on Z .
 - * Let D be a member of the product uniformity on Z .
 - * Then $E := D \cap (g[X] \times g[X])$ is a member of \mathcal{E} .
 - * It follows that $\hat{g}^{-1}[D] = \hat{g}^{-1}[E] \in \mathcal{F}$.
 - Since \mathcal{D} is the weak uniformity on X induced by the family $\{(\mathcal{D}'_d, \pi_d \circ g), d \in \mathcal{P}\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.
 - Thus $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$ and so g is a uniform isomorphism onto $g[X]$.

Exercise (completely regular subspace product).

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Prove that X is completely regular if and only if it is homeomorphic to a subspace of a product of pseudometric spaces.

Solution. Let A be a set and (X_α, d_α) be a pseudometric space for each $\alpha \in A$.

- Then X_α is completely regular for each $\alpha \in A$ so $X' := \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is completely regular.
- If X is homeomorphic to a subspace of X' , then X is completely regular.

Now assume that (X, τ) is a completely regular topological space.

- Let A be the set of all continuous $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- Let $Z := X \times [0, 1]$ and let d be the pseudometric on Z given by $d(\langle x, a \rangle, \langle y, b \rangle) = |a - b|$.
- Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Z^A$ be defined as follows:
 - If $x \in X$, then let $\varphi(x) : A \rightarrow Z$ be such that $\varphi(x)(f) = \langle x, f(x) \rangle$ for every $f \in A$.

Hint: Show that $\varphi : X \rightarrow \varphi[X]$ is a homeomorphism.

Exercise.

Theorem (uniformity completely regular).

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. There exists a uniformity \mathcal{D} on X that induces the topology τ if and only if τ is completely regular. It follows that there exists a separating uniformity on X that induces τ if and only if τ is Tychonoff.

Proof. Assume that there exists a uniformity \mathcal{D} on X that induces the topology τ .

- Then (X, \mathcal{D}) is uniformly isomorphic to a subspace X' of a product $Y := \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$, where X_α is a pseudometric space for each $\alpha \in A$.
- Let τ_α be the topology on X_α induced by the corresponding pseudometric.
- Since τ_α is completely regular for each $\alpha \in A$, it follows that the subspace topology on X' induced by the product topology on Y is also completely regular.
- Thus τ is completely regular.

Assume that τ is completely regular.

- The exercise above implies that X is homeomorphic to a subspace X' of a product $Y := \prod \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$, where X_α is a pseudometric space for each $\alpha \in A$.
- Let \mathcal{D}' be the relative uniformity on X' that is induced by the product uniformity on Y .
- Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\hat{h}^{-1}[D] : D \in \mathcal{D}'\}$, where $h : X \rightarrow X'$ is a homeomorphism.
- Then \mathcal{D} is a uniformity on X that induces τ .
 - Note that $\hat{h} : X \times X \rightarrow X' \times X'$ is a bijection such that for any $D \subseteq X \times X$ we have $\hat{h}[D] \in \mathcal{D}'$ if and only if $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
 - Let τ' be the subspace topology on X' inherited from the product topology on Y . Then τ' is induced by the uniformity \mathcal{D}' on X' .
 - Since for any $U \subseteq X$, we have $U \in \tau$ if and only if $h[U] \in \tau'$, it follows that \mathcal{D} induces τ on X .

- * Let $x \in X$. We show that $\{D[x] : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is a nbhd base at x , relative to τ .
- * If $D \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\hat{h}[D] \in \mathcal{D}'$ so $\hat{h}[D][h(x)]$ is a nbhd of $h(x)$ with respect to τ' .
- * It follows that $h^{-1}[\hat{h}[D][h(x)]]$ is a nbhd of x with respect to τ .
- * We show that $h^{-1}[\hat{h}[D][h(x)]] = D[x]$.

The following are equivalent:

- $y \in h^{-1}[\hat{h}[D][h(x)]]$,
- $h(y) \in \hat{h}[D][h(x)]$,
- $\langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in \hat{h}[D]$,
- $\langle x, y \rangle \in D$,
- $y \in D[x]$.
- * It follows that $D[x]$ is a nbhd of x with respect to τ .
- * Let U be any nbhd of x with respect to τ .
- * We show that there is $D \in \mathcal{D}$ with $D[x] \subseteq U$.
 - $h[U]$ is a nbhd of $h(x)$ with respect to τ' , so there is $D' \in \mathcal{D}'$ with $D'[h(x)] \subseteq h[U]$.
 - Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $D' = \hat{h}[D]$.
 - As proved above, we have $h^{-1}[D'[h(x)]] = D[x]$.
 - If $y \in D[x]$, then $h(y) \in D'[h(x)] \subseteq h[U]$ so $y \in U$.
 - Thus $D[x] \subseteq U$.
- * Thus $\{D[x] : D \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is a nbhd base at x , relative to τ .

Cauchy net.

Let (X, \mathcal{D}) be a uniform space and $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ be a net in X . We say that the net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is *Cauchy* (*\mathcal{D} -Cauchy*, *Cauchy relative to \mathcal{D}*) iff for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.

(1) Assume that \mathcal{D} is induced by a pseudometric d on X .

- We say that a net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ in X is d -Cauchy iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\gamma \in I$ such that $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
- Then $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is d -Cauchy if and only if it is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.
 - Assume that $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is d -Cauchy.
 - Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. We show that there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - * There is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in D$ for any $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$.
 - * Let $\gamma \in I$ such that $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - * Then $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - Assume that $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.
 - Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We show that there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - * Let $D := \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. Then $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
 - * There exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - * Thus $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.

(2) Given a directed set I , assume that $I \times I$ has the product direction, that is let $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \leq \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$ iff $\alpha \leq \gamma$ and $\beta \leq \delta$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in I$.

- A net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ in X is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy if and only if for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$ the net $(\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in I \times I)$ is eventually in D . (There is $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in I \times I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for all $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \geq \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$.)
 - Assume that the net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.
 - * Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$. There is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - * Then $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle \in I \times I$ and $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for all $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \geq \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle$.
 - Assume that there is $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in I \times I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for all $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \geq \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$.
 - * There is $\xi \in I$ such that $\gamma, \delta \leq \xi$.
 - * Then $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for all $\alpha, \beta \geq \xi$.
 - * Thus the net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.

(3) A net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ in X is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy if and only if there exists a subbase \mathcal{S} for \mathcal{D} such that for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the net $(\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in I \times I)$ is eventually in S .

Proof. Assume that the net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.

- Then $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the requirements.

Assume that there exists a subbase \mathcal{S} for \mathcal{D} such that for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the net $(\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in I \times I)$ is eventually in S .

- Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
- We show that there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - We can assume $D \neq X \times X$.
 - Let $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be finite, nonempty and such that $\bigcap \mathcal{S}' \subseteq D$.
 - For each $S \in \mathcal{S}'$, there is $\gamma_S \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in S$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma_S$.
 - Let $\gamma \in I$ be such that $\gamma_S \leq \gamma$ for every $S \in \mathcal{S}'$. Such γ exists since \mathcal{S}' is finite and I is directed.
 - If $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$, then $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ as required.

(4) Let \mathcal{P} be a family of pseudometrics on X such that \mathcal{P} induces the uniformity \mathcal{D} . Then a net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ in X is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy if and only if for every $d \in \mathcal{P}$ the net $(d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in I \times I)$ converges to 0.

Proof. Assume that $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is a \mathcal{D} -Cauchy net in X .

- Let $d \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$.
- We show that there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - Let $D := \langle \langle x, y \rangle \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon \rangle$. Then $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
 - There is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - Thus $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$ as required.

Assume that for every $d \in \mathcal{P}$ the net $(d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in I \times I)$ converges to 0.

- Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
- We show that there exists $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
 - We can assume that $D \neq X \times X$.

- There is finite $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and $\varepsilon_d > 0$ for every $d \in \mathcal{P}'$ such that $\bigcap \{D_d : d \in \mathcal{P}'\} \subseteq D$, where

$$D_d = \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \varepsilon_d\}$$
 for every $d \in \mathcal{P}'$.
- For each $d \in \mathcal{P}'$, let $\gamma_d \in I$ be such that $d(x_\alpha, x_\beta) < \varepsilon_d$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma_d$.
- Let $\gamma \in I$ be such that $\gamma \geq \gamma_d$ for each $d \in \mathcal{P}'$.
- If $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$, then $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D_d$ for every $d \in \mathcal{P}'$ so $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D$ as required.

Theorem (convergent net is Cauchy).

Let $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ be a net in a uniform space (X, \mathcal{D}) . If $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ converges in the topology on X that is induced by \mathcal{D} , then it is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.

Proof. Assume that $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ converges to $x \in X$.

- Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$.
- Let $E \in \mathcal{D}$ be symmetric and such that $E \circ E \subseteq D$.
- There is $\gamma \in I$ such that $x_\alpha \in E[x]$ for every $\alpha \geq \gamma$.
- If $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$, then $\langle x, x_\alpha \rangle \in E$ and $\langle x, x_\beta \rangle \in E$, which implies that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in E \circ E \subseteq D$.
- Thus $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.

Theorem (Cauchy net converges cluster).

Let $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ be a net in a uniform space (X, \mathcal{D}) . If $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy and has a cluster point $x \in X$, then it converges to x in the topology induced by \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

- Let $E \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that $E \circ E \subseteq D$.
- There is $\gamma \in I$ such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in E$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$.
- Since x is a cluster point of $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$, there is $\delta \in I$ be such that $\delta \geq \gamma$ and $x_\delta \in E[x]$.
- If $\alpha \geq \delta$, then $\langle x_\delta, x_\alpha \rangle \in E$.
- Since $\langle x, x_\delta \rangle \in E$, it follows that $\langle x, x_\alpha \rangle \in E \circ E \subseteq D$, so $x_\alpha \in D[x]$.
- Thus $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ converges to x .

Complete uniform space.

Let (X, \mathcal{D}) be a uniform space. We say that the space is complete (or that \mathcal{D} is complete) iff every \mathcal{D} -Cauchy net converges to some $x \in X$ in the topology induced by \mathcal{D} .

Theorem. Assume that \mathcal{D} is induced by a pseudometric d on X . Then (X, \mathcal{D}) is \mathcal{D} -complete if and only if every d -Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $x \in X$ with respect to the topology on X that is induced by \mathcal{D} (which is the same as the topology induced by d).

Proof. Assume that (X, \mathcal{D}) is \mathcal{D} -complete.

- Let $(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a d -Cauchy sequence in X . It follows that $(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy. Thus $(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to some $x \in X$.

Assume that every d -Cauchy sequence in X converges.

- Let $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ be a net in X that is \mathcal{D} -Cauchy.
- We find $x \in X$ such that $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ converges to x .

– For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$D_n := \{\langle x, y \rangle \in X \times X : d(x, y) < 1/n\}.$$

Then $D_n \in \mathcal{D}$.

- Let $\alpha_1 \in I$ be such that $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D_1$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_1$.
- Suppose $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha_n \in I$ is defined. Define $\alpha_{n+1} \in I$ to be such that $\alpha_{n+1} \geq \alpha_n$ and $\langle x_\alpha, x_\beta \rangle \in D_{n+1}$ for every $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_{n+1}$.
- The sequence $(x_{\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is d -Cauchy.

* Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

* Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1/n < \varepsilon$.

* If $m, k \geq n$, then $\alpha_m, \alpha_k \geq \alpha_n$ so $d(x_{\alpha_m}, x_{\alpha_k}) < \varepsilon$.

– There is $x \in X$ such that $(x_{\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to x .

– We show that the net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ converges to x .

* Let $\varepsilon > 0$.

* Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2/n < \varepsilon$ and $d(x_{\alpha_n}, x) < \varepsilon/2$.

* If $\alpha \geq \alpha_n$, then $d(x_\alpha, x_{\alpha_n}) < 1/n < \varepsilon/2$ so $d(x_\alpha, x) < \varepsilon$.

* Thus the net $(x_\alpha : \alpha \in I)$ converges to x .

Example (topological group uniformity).

Let (G, τ) be a topological group. For each nbhd U of the identity e of G , let

$$U_L = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in G \times G : x^{-1}y \in U \}$$

and

$$U_R = \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in G \times G : xy^{-1} \in U \}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}' = \{U_L : U \text{ is a nbhd of } e\}$ and $\mathcal{R}' = \{U_R : U \text{ is a nbhd of } e\}$.

- Then each of \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{R}' is a uniformity base on X .
- Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} be the uniformities on G that are induced by \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{R}' , respectively. We call \mathcal{L} the *left uniformity* of the topological group, and \mathcal{R} is called the *right uniformity*.
- Then \mathcal{L} induces the topology τ and the same is true for \mathcal{R} .

Let G be the set of all real-valued functions on \mathbb{R} that are of the form $g(x) = ax + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \neq 0$.

- Then G is a group under composition.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\varepsilon : \varepsilon > 0\}$ be a family of subsets of G , where B_ε consists of those $g \in G$ that are of the form $g(x) = ax + b$ with $|a - 1| < \varepsilon$ and $|b| < \varepsilon$.

- There exists a topology τ on G making it a topological group such that \mathcal{B} is a nbhd base at the identity of G .
- Let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} be the left and right uniformity of G .
- In this case, we have $\mathcal{L} \neq \mathcal{R}$.

Exercise.

- There does not exist an invariant metric d on G that induces τ . (A metric d on G is *invariant* iff

$$d(g, h) = d(f \circ g, f \circ h) = d(g \circ f, h \circ f)$$

for every $f, g, h \in G$.

Exercise.