# Hamiltonian Line Graphs and Related Problems

Hong-Jian Lai

Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University



a hamiltonian cycle of a graph G: a cycle containing all the vertices of G a hamiltonian graph: contains a hamiltonian cycle





■ L(G): the line graph of a graph G, has E(G) as its vertex set, where two vertices in L(G) are linked by k edges if and only if the corresponding edges in G share exactly k vertices in common.

■ L(G): the line graph of a graph G, has E(G) as its vertex set, where two vertices in L(G) are linked by k edges if and only if the corresponding edges in G share exactly k vertices in common.

If G is simple, L(G) is also simple.



■ L(G): the line graph of a graph G, has E(G) as its vertex set, where two vertices in L(G) are linked by k edges if and only if the corresponding edges in G share exactly k vertices in common.

If G is simple, L(G) is also simple.



■ L(G): the line graph of a graph G, has E(G) as its vertex set, where two vertices in L(G) are linked by k edges if and only if the corresponding edges in G share exactly k vertices in common.

If G is simple, L(G) is also simple.



■ L(G): the line graph of a graph G, has E(G) as its vertex set, where two vertices in L(G) are linked by k edges if and only if the corresponding edges in G share exactly k vertices in common.

If G is simple, L(G) is also simple.



G: solid lines and closed circles

L(G): dash lines and open circles

An essential edge cut: each side of G - X has an edge.

An essential edge cut: each side of G - X has an edge.

G is essentially k-edge-connected: any essential edge cut of G has size at least k.

- An essential edge cut: each side of G X has an edge.
- G is essentially k-edge-connected: any essential edge cut of G has size at least k.
- Every essential edge cut in *G* corresponds to a vertex cut in L(G); and vice versa when L(G) is not complete.

- An essential edge cut: each side of G X has an edge.
- G is essentially k-edge-connected: any essential edge cut of G has size at least k.
- Every essential edge cut in *G* corresponds to a vertex cut in L(G); and vice versa when L(G) is not complete.
- If L(G) is k-connected, then G is essentially k-edge-connected. Moreover, when L(G) is not complete, G is essentially k-edge-connected if and only if L(G) is k-connected.





When does a line graph have a Hamilton cycle?

When does a line graph have a Hamilton cycle?

When does a claw-free graph have a Hamilton cycle?

- When does a line graph have a Hamilton cycle?
- When does a claw-free graph have a Hamilton cycle?
- Conjecture (Thomassen) Every 4-connected line graph is hamiltonian.

- When does a line graph have a Hamilton cycle?
- When does a claw-free graph have a Hamilton cycle?
- Conjecture (Thomassen) Every 4-connected line graph is hamiltonian.
- Conjecture (Matthews and Sumner) Every 4-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.

- When does a line graph have a Hamilton cycle?
- When does a claw-free graph have a Hamilton cycle?
- Conjecture (Thomassen) Every 4-connected line graph is hamiltonian.
- Conjecture (Matthews and Sumner) Every 4-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.
- Theorem (Ryjáček) These two conjectures are equivalent.

# **Known Results**

Theorem (Zhan) Every 7-connected line graph is hamiltonian-connected.

## **Known Results**

Theorem (Zhan) Every 7-connected line graph is hamiltonian-connected.

Theorem (Ryjáček) Every 7-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.

# Locally connected and $N_2$ -locally connected

A graph *G* is locally connected if for every vertex of *G*  $G[N_G(v)]$  is connected.

## Locally connected and $N_2$ -locally connected

- A graph *G* is locally connected if for every vertex of *G*  $G[N_G(v)]$  is connected.
- A graph G is N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected if for every vertex v in G, the edges not incident with v but having at least one end adjacent to v in G induce a connected graph.

### Locally connected and $N_2$ -locally connected

- A graph *G* is locally connected if for every vertex of *G*  $G[N_G(v)]$  is connected.
- A graph G is N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected if for every vertex v in G, the edges not incident with v but having at least one end adjacent to v in G induce a connected graph.
- A locally connected graph is N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected. Not vice versa.



# A Settled Conjecture

Conjecture (Ryjáček 1990 JGT) Every 3-connected N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.

# A Settled Conjecture

Conjecture (Ryjáček 1990 JGT) Every 3-connected N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.

Theorem (Lai, Shao and Zhan, 2005) This conjecture is a theorem.

Step 1 Apply Ryjáček's closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem: It suffices to show that every 3-connected, N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected line graph L(G) is hamiltonian.

- Step 1 Apply Ryjáček's closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem: It suffices to show that every 3-connected, N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected line graph L(G) is hamiltonian.
- Step 2 Further reduce the graph so that G is 3-edge-connected and every edge of it lies in a cycle of length at most 4.

- Step 1 Apply Ryjáček's closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem: It suffices to show that every 3-connected, N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected line graph L(G) is hamiltonian.
- Step 2 Further reduce the graph so that G is 3-edge-connected and every edge of it lies in a cycle of length at most 4.
- Step 3 Apply a theorem of Lai (1991 DM) to show that all such graphs has a spanning eulerian subgraph.

- Step 1 Apply Ryjáček's closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem: It suffices to show that every 3-connected, N<sub>2</sub>-locally connected line graph L(G) is hamiltonian.
- Step 2 Further reduce the graph so that G is 3-edge-connected and every edge of it lies in a cycle of length at most 4.
- Step 3 Apply a theorem of Lai (1991 DM) to show that all such graphs has a spanning eulerian subgraph.
- Step 4 Apply the Harary and Nash-Williams' Theorem to show that L(G) is hamiltonian.

Hamiltonian Line Graphs with High Essential Connectivity

A vertex cut X of G is essential if G – X has at least two nontrivial components (containing at least one edge each). Hamiltonian Line Graphs with High Essential Connectivity

A vertex cut X of G is essential if G – X has at least two nontrivial components (containing at least one edge each).

Theorem (Lai, Shao, Wu, and Zhou, 2006) Every 3-connected, essentially 11-connected line graph is hamiltonian. Hamiltonian Line Graphs with High Essential Connectivity

- A vertex cut X of G is essential if G X has at least two nontrivial components (containing at least one edge each).
- Theorem (Lai, Shao, Wu, and Zhou, 2006) Every 3-connected, essentially 11-connected line graph is hamiltonian.
- Corollary Every 3-connected, essentially 11-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.

Hamiltonian Line Graphs with High Essential Connectivity

- A vertex cut X of G is essential if G X has at least two nontrivial components (containing at least one edge each).
- Theorem (Lai, Shao, Wu, and Zhou, 2006) Every 3-connected, essentially 11-connected line graph is hamiltonian.
- Corollary Every 3-connected, essentially 11-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.
- Problem What is the smallest positive integer k such that every 3-connected, essentially k-connected line graph (or claw-free graph) is hamiltonian?

Conjecture (Kuipers and Veldman 1998) Every 3-connected claw-free simple graph *G* with order *n* and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+6}{10}$  is Hamiltonian for sufficiently large *n*.

- Conjecture (Kuipers and Veldman 1998) Every 3-connected claw-free simple graph *G* with order *n* and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+6}{10}$  is Hamiltonian for sufficiently large *n*.
- Theorem (Kuipers and Veldman, 1998) If *G* is a 3-connected claw-free simple graph with sufficiently large order *n*, and if  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+29}{8}$ , then *G* is hamiltonian.

- Conjecture (Kuipers and Veldman 1998) Every 3-connected claw-free simple graph *G* with order *n* and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+6}{10}$  is Hamiltonian for sufficiently large *n*.
- Theorem (Kuipers and Veldman, 1998) If *G* is a 3-connected claw-free simple graph with sufficiently large order *n*, and if  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+29}{8}$ , then *G* is hamiltonian.
- Theorem (Favaron and Fraisse, 2001, JCT(B)) If G is a 3-connected claw-free simple graph with order n, and if  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+37}{10}$ , then G is hamiltonian.

Theorem (Lai, Shao and Zhan, 2006) if *G* is a 3-connected claw-free simple graph with sufficiently large order *n*, and if  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+5}{10}$ , then either *G* is hamiltonian, or  $\delta(G) = \frac{n+5}{10}$  and the Ryjáček's closure cl(G) of *G* is the line graph of a graph obtained from the Petersen graph  $P_{10}$  by adding  $\frac{n-15}{10}$  pendant edges at each vertex of  $P_{10}$ .

Theorem (Lai, Shao and Zhan, 2006) if *G* is a 3-connected claw-free simple graph with sufficiently large order *n*, and if  $\delta(G) \ge \frac{n+5}{10}$ , then either *G* is hamiltonian, or  $\delta(G) = \frac{n+5}{10}$  and the Ryjáček's closure cl(G) of *G* is the line graph of a graph obtained from the Petersen graph  $P_{10}$  by adding  $\frac{n-15}{10}$  pendant edges at each vertex of  $P_{10}$ .

■ Idea of Proof Use Ryjáček's closure, to find a hamiltonian cycle of *G*, it suffices to find a dominating eulerian subgraph in *H*, where L(H) = cl(G).

 $\blacksquare$   $P_k$  = a path of order k

- $\blacksquare$   $P_k$  = a path of order k
- Z<sub>k</sub> = identifying one end vertex of  $P_{k+1}$  with one vertex of a  $K_3$ .

- $\blacksquare$   $P_k$  = a path of order k
- Z<sub>k</sub> = identifying one end vertex of  $P_{k+1}$  with one vertex of a  $K_3$ .

Theorem (Brousek, Ryjáček and Favaron, 1999 JGT) Every 3-connected {K<sub>1,3</sub>, Z<sub>4</sub>}-free graph is hamiltonian.

- $\square$   $P_k$  = a path of order k
- Z<sub>k</sub> = identifying one end vertex of  $P_{k+1}$  with one vertex of a  $K_3$ .
- Theorem (Brousek, Ryjáček and Favaron, 1999 JGT) Every 3-connected {K<sub>1,3</sub>, Z<sub>4</sub>}-free graph is hamiltonian.
- Theorem (Lai, Xiong and Yan 2006) Every 3-connected  $\{K_{1,3}, Z_8\}$ -free graph is hamiltonian. Moreover, there exists a non hamiltonian 3-connected  $\{K_{1,3}, Z_9\}$ -free graph.

Step 1 Use Ryjáček's line graph closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem.

Step 1 Use Ryjáček's line graph closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem.

Step 2 Show that the corresponding line graph has circumference at most 11.

- Step 1 Use Ryjáček's line graph closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem.
- Step 2 Show that the corresponding line graph has circumference at most 11.
- Step 3 Show that if G be a 3-edge-connected graph and if the circumference of G is less than or equal to 8, then G is supereulerian (graphs with a spanning eulerian subgraph).

- Step 1 Use Ryjáček's line graph closure to convert the problem into a line graph problem.
- Step 2 Show that the corresponding line graph has circumference at most 11.
- Step 3 Show that if G be a 3-edge-connected graph and if the circumference of G is less than or equal to 8, then G is supereulerian (graphs with a spanning eulerian subgraph).

 Step 4 Discuss the cases when the circumference is 9, 10 and 11.

■ The same steps also prove the following: if G is a connected simple graph without subgraphs isomorphic to P<sub>12</sub>, and if κ(L(G)) ≥ 3, then L(G) is hamiltonian.

This gives another proof of the following theorem.

■ The same steps also prove the following: if G is a connected simple graph without subgraphs isomorphic to P<sub>12</sub>, and if κ(L(G)) ≥ 3, then L(G) is hamiltonian.

This gives another proof of the following theorem.

Theorem (Luczak and Pfender, 2004 JGT) Every 3-connected  $\{K_{1,3}, P_{11}\}$ -free graph is hamiltonian.

Definition A graph G is s-hamiltonian if the removal of at most s vertices from G results in a hamiltonian graph.

- Definition A graph G is s-hamiltonian if the removal of at most s vertices from G results in a hamiltonian graph.
- Fact If G is s-hamiltonian, then G must be (s+2)-connected.

- Definition A graph G is s-hamiltonian if the removal of at most s vertices from G results in a hamiltonian graph.
- Fact If G is s-hamiltonian, then G must be (s+2)-connected.
- Fact There exist arbitrarily high connected non hamiltonian graphs ( $K_{n,n+1}$  for example).

Definition For an integer k > 0, a graph G is k-triangular if every edge of G lies in at least k distinct 3-cycles of G.

- Definition For an integer k > 0, a graph G is k-triangular if every edge of G lies in at least k distinct 3-cycles of G.
- Problem In [J. Graph Theory, 11 (1987), 399-407], Broersma and Veldman proposed an open problem: For a given positive integer k, determine the value s for which the following statement is valid.

- Definition For an integer k > 0, a graph G is k-triangular if every edge of G lies in at least k distinct 3-cycles of G.
- Problem In [J. Graph Theory, 11 (1987), 399-407], Broersma and Veldman proposed an open problem: For a given positive integer k, determine the value s for which the following statement is valid.
- Let G be a k-triangular graph. Then L(G), the line graph of G, is s-hamiltonian if and only L(G) is (s+2)-connected.

■ Theorem (Broersma and Veldman, 1987 JGT) Let k ≥ s ≥ 0 be integers and let G be a k-triangular simple graph. Then L(G) is s-hamiltonian if and only L(G) is (s + 2)-connected.

- Theorem (Broersma and Veldman, 1987 JGT) Let  $k \ge s \ge 0$  be integers and let G be a k-triangular simple graph. Then L(G) is s-hamiltonian if and only L(G) is (s + 2)-connected.
- Problem (Broersma and Veldman, 1987 JGT) Is the statement above remains valid if s = 2k?

- Theorem (Broersma and Veldman, 1987 JGT) Let k ≥ s ≥ 0 be integers and let G be a k-triangular simple graph. Then L(G) is s-hamiltonian if and only L(G) is (s+2)-connected.
- Problem (Broersma and Veldman, 1987 JGT) Is the statement above remains valid if s = 2k?
- Theorem (Chen, Lai, Li, and Siu) Let k and s be positive integers such that 0 ≤ s ≤ max{2k, 6k - 16}, and let G be a k-triangular simple graph. Then L(G) is s-hamiltonian if and only L(G) is (s + 2)-connected.

