



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

On weighted modulo orientation of graphs

Jian-Bing Liu^a, Ping Li^{b,1}, Jiaao Li^{c,2}, Hong-Jian Lai^d

^a Department of Mathematics, University of Hartford, West Hartford, CT 06117, USA

^b Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, People's Republic of China

^c School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

^d Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 April 2019

Accepted 21 May 2020

Available online 6 June 2020

ABSTRACT

Esperet, de Joannis de Verclos, Le and Thomassé in [SIAM J. Discrete Math., 32(1) (2018), 534–542] introduced the problem that for an odd prime p , whether there exists an orientation D of a graph G for any mapping $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b of G , such that under D , at every vertex, the net out f -flow is the same as $b(v)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . Such an orientation D is called an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation of G . Esperet et al. indicated that this problem is closely related to mod p -orientations of graphs, including Tutte's nowhere zero 3-flow conjecture. Utilizing properties of additive bases and contractible configurations, we show that every graph G with Euler genus g and edge-connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ admits an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation for any mapping $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b of G , provided

$$\kappa'(G) \geq \begin{cases} 4p - 6 + \lfloor g/2 \rfloor & \text{if } g \leq 2, \\ (p-2) \lfloor \sqrt{6g+0.25} + 2.5 \rfloor & \\ +1 & \text{if } g \geq 3, \\ p\sqrt{4.98g} & \text{if } g \text{ is sufficiently large.} \end{cases}$$

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: jianliu@hartford.edu (J.-B. Liu), pingli@bjtu.edu.cn (P. Li), lijiaao@nankai.edu.cn (J. Li), hjlai@math.wvu.edu (H.-J. Lai).

¹ Research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant CNNSF 11771039.

² Research supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11901318), Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin, China (No. 19JCQNJC14100) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, China, Nankai University, China (No. 63191425).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2020.103163>

0195-6698/© 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. The problem

We consider finite loopless graphs with possible multiple edges, and follow [3] for generic undefined notation and terms, and [10] for those involving graphs embedded on surfaces. In particular, for a graph G , $\kappa(G)$, $\kappa'(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the connectivity, edge-connectivity and the minimum degree of G , respectively. We write $H \subseteq G$ to mean that H is a subgraph of G . As in [3], (u, v) in a digraph D denotes an arc oriented from u to v , and for a vertex $v \in V$, let

$$E_D^-(v) = \{(u, v) \in D(G) : u \in V(D)\}, \text{ and } E_D^+(v) = \{(v, u) \in D(G) : u \in V(D)\}.$$

The subscript D may be omitted when D is understood from the context. For an integer $k > 0$, let \mathbb{Z}_k denote the (additive) cyclic group of order k . A \mathbb{Z}_k -**boundary** of a graph G is a mapping $b : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ satisfying $\sum_{v \in V(G)} b(v) \equiv 0 \pmod k$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_k$, and define $F(G, A) = \{f : E(G) \rightarrow A\}$, and let $\mathbb{Z}_k^* = \mathbb{Z}_k - \{0\}$. Fix an orientation $D = D(G)$ for a graph G . For any $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_k^*)$, define $\partial_D(f) : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ as

$$\partial_D(f)(v) = \sum_{e \in E_D^+(v)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E_D^-(v)} f(e).$$

When the orientation D is understood from the context, we often omit the subscript D in the notation above and write ∂f for $\partial_D(f)$. It is known that for any $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_k^*)$, ∂f is always a \mathbb{Z}_k -boundary. Jaeger et al. [13] defined group connectivity of a graph. A graph G is \mathbb{Z}_k -connected if for any \mathbb{Z}_k -boundary b of G , there exists an orientation D of G and a mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_k^*)$ such that $\partial f \equiv b \pmod k$. The following conjecture is proposed in [13] and remains unsolved as of today.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let G be a graph.*

- (i) *If $\kappa'(G) \geq 3$, then G is \mathbb{Z}_5 -connected.*
- (ii) *If $\kappa'(G) \geq 5$, then G is \mathbb{Z}_3 -connected.*

Let b be a \mathbb{Z}_k -boundary of a graph G . An orientation D of G is a **b -orientation** of G if for the constant mapping $f = 1$, we have $\partial f \equiv b \pmod k$. In particular, when $b = 0$, any b -orientation of G is a **mod k -orientation** of G . The studies of group connectivity and modulo orientation of graphs are motivated by the most fascinating nowhere zero flow conjectures of Tutte, as shown in the surveys [12,17] as well as in the popular monograph [23], among others. Some of the recent breakthroughs are the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Lovász, Thomassen, Wu and Zhang [21]). *Let $k > 0$ be an integer. Every $6k$ -edge-connected graph G has a b -orientation for every \mathbb{Z}_{2k+1} -boundary b of G .*

Theorem 1.3 (Han, Li, Wu and Zhang [11], Li [19]). *Let $k > 0$ be an integer.*

- (i) *If $k \geq 3$, then there exists a $4k$ -edge-connected graph admitting no mod $(2k + 1)$ -orientation.*
- (i) *If $k \geq 5$, then there exists a $(4k + 1)$ -edge-connected graph admitting no mod $(2k + 1)$ -orientation.*

In particular, Theorem 1.3 disproved Jaeger’s Circular Flow Conjecture, in which Jaeger [12] conjectured that every $4k$ -edge-connected graph admits a mod $(2k + 1)$ -orientation. Further expository of the problem can be found in the informative monograph by Zhang [23]. Aiming at extending Theorem 1.2, Esperet et al. in [9] defined a mod $k f$ -**weighted b -orientation** of a graph G , for a given mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_k^*)$ and a \mathbb{Z}_k -boundary b , to be an orientation $D = D(G)$ satisfying $\partial_D(f) \equiv b \pmod k$ under D . Throughout the rest of this paper, we shall abbreviate a mod $k f$ -weighted b -orientation as an $(f, b; k)$ -**orientation**. Esperet et al. indicated in [9] that to investigate $(f, b; k)$ -orientations of graphs, it is necessary to assume that k is an odd prime number. The following is proved in [9].

Theorem 1.4 (Esperet, de Joannis de Verclos, Le and Thomassé, [9]). *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime number and G be a $(6p^2 - 14p + 8)$ -edge-connected graph. Then for any mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b of G , G has an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation.*

The current study is motivated by [Theorems 1.2–1.4](#). We are going to investigate the relationship between the edge-connectivity of a graph embedded on a 2-manifold and its $(f, b; p)$ -orientability over the finite field \mathbb{Z}_p . We follow [\[10\]](#) to define a **2-cell (or cellular) embedding** of a graph G into a closed surface S to be a continuous one-to-one function $i : G \rightarrow S$ if every component of $S - i(G)$ is homeomorphic to an open disk. In this paper, all embeddings of graphs are assumed to be 2-cell. We use g to denote the Euler genus of G , which is the minimum integer k such that the graph can be embedded into an orientable surface of genus $k/2$ or into a nonorientable surface of genus k . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p > 0$ be an odd prime, and let G be a graph with Euler genus g and edge connectivity*

$$\kappa'(G) \geq \begin{cases} 4p - 6 + \lfloor g/2 \rfloor & \text{if } g \leq 2, \\ (p - 2)\lfloor \sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5 \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } g \geq 3, \\ p\sqrt{4.98g} & \text{if } g \text{ is sufficiently large.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Then for any mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b of G , the graph G has an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation.

The next section will be focused on developing the needed mechanisms to derive our main result, utilizing additive bases in the linear space of the boundaries of a given graph, and contractible configurations of the related properties. The proof of the main result will be in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, \mathbb{F} , n and p denote a field, a positive integer and an odd prime, respectively. We use \mathbb{F}^n to denote the n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} . For a graph G on $n > 0$ vertices, let $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ denote the collection of all \mathbb{Z}_k -boundaries of G . By definition, $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1} .

2.1. Additive bases of $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$

Given a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$, an **S -additive basis** of \mathbb{Z}_p^n is a multiset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, there exist scalars $c_i \in S$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i$, which is called an S -linear-combination of x . An **additive basis** is a $\{0, 1\}$ -additive basis. As indicated in [\[13\]](#), the mod p -orientation problem of graphs is closely related to the existence of additive bases of vector spaces over \mathbb{Z}_p , the field on p elements.

Let B_1, \dots, B_t be a collection of bases of \mathbb{F}^n . Define $\uplus_{i=1}^t B_i$ to be the (multiset) union with repetitions of B_1, \dots, B_t . Let $c(n, \mathbb{F})$ be the smallest positive integer t such that for any t bases B_1, \dots, B_t of \mathbb{F}^n , the multiset $\uplus_{i=1}^t B_i$ is an additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n . Define $c(n, p) = c(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. An upper bound of $c(c, p)$ was obtained by Alon, Linial and Meshulam [\[1\]](#). In the following, [Theorem 2.1\(i\)](#) can be derived from Cauchy–Davenport Theorem in [\[7\]](#) (see [Theorem 2.4](#)), and [Theorem 2.1\(ii\)](#) verified a former conjecture by H. B. Mann and J. E. Olson.

Theorem 2.1. *Each of the following holds.*

- (i) (Davenport [\[7\]](#), see also [\[2\]](#)) *If $p \geq 3$ is a prime, then $c(1, p) = p - 1$.*
- (ii) (Mann and Wou [\[22\]](#)) *If $p \geq 3$ is a prime, then $c(2, p) = p - 1$.*

We develop some more lemmas for our arguments deployed in this research.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{F}$ distinct elements. Then each of the following holds.*

- (i) *If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is an $\{x, y\}$ -additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n , then $(y - x)A = \{(y - x)a_1, \dots, (y - x)a_m\}$ is an additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n .*
- (ii) *If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is an additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n , then $(y - x)^{-1}A = \{(y - x)^{-1}a_1, \dots, (y - x)^{-1}a_m\}$ is an $\{x, y\}$ -additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n .*

Proof. Let β be an arbitrary vector in \mathbb{F}^n .

(i) Then $\beta + \sum_{i=1}^m xa_i \in \mathbb{F}^n$. As $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is an $\{x, y\}$ -additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n , there exist scalars $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \{x, y\}$ such that $\beta + \sum_{i=1}^m xa_i = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i a_i$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $d_i = (y - x)^{-1}(c_i - x)$. Thus if $c_i = x$ then $d_i = 0$, and if $c_i = y$ then $d_i = 1$. It follows that $\beta = (y - x)(y - x)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (c_i - x)a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i(y - x)a_i$ with $d_i \in \{0, 1\}$, and so $(y - x)A$ is an additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n .

(ii) Then $\beta - (y - x)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m xa_i \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Since $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is an additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n , there exist $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\beta - (y - x)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m xa_i = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i a_i$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $d_i = (y - x)c_i + x$. As $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $d_i \in \{x, y\}$. It follows that $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^m ((y - x)c_i + x)(y - x)^{-1} a_i = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i(y - x)^{-1} a_i$, and so $(y - x)^{-1}A$ is a $\{x, y\}$ -additive basis of \mathbb{F}^n . ■

Let G be a connected graph with $n = |V(G)| \geq 1$. For each $e \in E(G)$, define $x_e \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ to be the characteristic function of $\{e\}$. Let D be an arbitrary orientation of G . Recall that $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1} . Corollary 2.3 reveals a relationship between additive bases in $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and the existence of an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation of G .

Corollary 2.3. Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime number, and let G be a connected graph with $n = |V(G)|$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For any mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b of G , G has an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation.
- (ii) For any given orientation D_1 of G and for any mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$, the multiset $\{f(e)\partial_{D_1}(x_e) : e \in E(G)\}$ is a $\{-1, 1\}$ -additive basis of $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- (iii) For any given orientation D_2 of G and for any mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$, the multiset $\{2f(e)\partial_{D_2}(x_e) : e \in E(G)\}$ is an additive basis of $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof. The equivalence between (ii) and (iii) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.2 by letting $D_1 = D_2$.

It remains to show that equivalence between (i) and (ii). Assume that (i) holds. For any mapping $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ and any $b \in Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, by (i), G admits an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation D . For each $e \in E(G)$, define $c_e = 1$ if e has the same orientation in both D and D_1 and $c_e = -1$ if e is oriented differently in D and in D_1 . By definition, we have $\partial_D(f) = b$, and so for each $v \in V(G)$,

$$b(v) = \partial_D(f)(v) = \sum_{e \in E_D^+(v)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E_D^-(v)} f(e) = \sum_{e \in E} c_e f(e) \partial_{D_1}(x_e)(v).$$

Thus b is a $\{1, -1\}$ -linear-combination of vectors in $\{f(e)\partial_{D_1}(x_e) : e \in E(G)\}$. By definition, the multiset $\{f(e)\partial_{D_1}(x_e) : e \in E(G)\}$ is a $\{-1, 1\}$ -additive basis of $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Conversely, we assume that the multiset $\{f(e)\partial_{D_1}(x_e) : e \in E(G)\}$ is a $\{-1, 1\}$ -additive basis of $Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. For any $b \in Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, there exists scalars $c_e \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $b = \sum_{e \in E(G)} c_e f(e) \partial_{D_1}(x_e)$. Let D be an orientation obtained from D_1 such that for any edge $e \in E(G)$, e has the same orientation in D as in D_1 if $c_e = 1$ and e has an orientation in D opposite to its orientation in D_1 if $c_e = -1$. It follows from $b = \sum_{e \in E(G)} c_e f(e) \partial_{D_1}(x_e)$ that $b = \partial_D(f)$, and so D is an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation of G . ■

For a multisubset $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ of \mathbb{Z}_p^* , define $\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \{\sum_{i=1}^k \ell_i x_i : \ell_i \in \{1, -1\}\}$ to be the set of $\{1, -1\}$ -linear combinations of $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$. By definition and since $p \geq 3$ is an odd prime,

$$\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k) = -\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k), \text{ and so } |\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \text{ is odd if and only if } 0 \in \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k). \tag{2}$$

For two nonempty subsets $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$. The following result was proved by Cauchy [6] in 1813 and was later rediscovered by Davenport [7] in 1935.

Theorem 2.4 (Cauchy [6] and Davenport [7]). Let p be a prime number, and A and B two nonempty subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p . Then $|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\}$.

Lemma 2.5. Let p be an odd prime and let k be a positive integer with $1 \leq k < p$. If $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a multisubset of \mathbb{Z}_p^* , then $|\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \geq k + 1$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . If $k = 1$, then $\Omega(x_1) = \{x_1, -x_1\}$, and the lemma holds. Let $A = \Omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$. Then by induction, $|\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})| \geq k$. Let $B = \{x_k, -x_k\}$. Note that $\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k) = A + B$. By Theorem 2.4, $|A + B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\} = \min\{p, k + 1\} = k + 1$, and so $|\Omega(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \geq k + 1$. ■

2.2. A family of graphs admitting $(f, b; p)$ -orientations

For a graph G and for each edge $uv \in E(G)$, let $[uv]$ denote the set of all (parallel) edges joining the two vertices u and v . If $X \subseteq E(G)$ is an edge subset of a graph G , then the contraction G/X is obtained from G by identifying the two ends of each edge in X and then deleting all the resulting loops. If $X = \{e\}$, we use G/e for $G/\{e\}$. If H is a connected subgraph of G , then we write G/H for $G/E(H)$.

For a prime $p \geq 3$, let \mathcal{O}_p denote the family of connected graphs such that a graph $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$ if and only if G admits an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation for any $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b . By definition, $K_1 \in \mathcal{O}_p$. For a subgraph H of a graph G , let $A_G(H)$ denote the vertices in $V(H)$ that are adjacent to some vertices in $V(G) - V(H)$ in G . (Vertices in $A_G(H)$ are called the **vertices of attachment of H in G** .) We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a connected graph. Then each of the following holds.*

- (i) *If $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and $e \in E(G)$, then $G/e \in \mathcal{O}_p$.*
- (ii) *If $H \subseteq G$ satisfying $H \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_p$, then $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.*

Proof. (i) Let $e = \{u, v\}$, $G' = G/e$ and w be the vertex in G' onto which e is contracted. Let $f' : E(G') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ and b' be an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary of G' . Define mappings f and b as follows:

$$f(h) = \begin{cases} f'(h) & \text{if } h \in E(G') = E(G) - \{e\} \\ 1 & \text{if } h = e. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } b(z) = \begin{cases} b'(z) & \text{if } z \in V(G) - \{u, v\} \\ b'(w) & \text{if } z = u \\ 0 & \text{if } z = v. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Thus $f : E(G') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. As $\sum_{z \in V(G)} b(z) = \sum_{z \in V(G')} b'(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, b is a \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary of G . Since $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$, G admits an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation D . Let D' be the restriction of D to $E(G) - \{e\}$. Then D' can be viewed as an orientation of G' . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{D'} f'(w) &= \sum_{e' \in E_D^+(v) \cup E_D^+(u) - \{e\}} f(e') - \sum_{e' \in E_D^-(v) \cup E_D^-(u) - \{e\}} f(e') \\ &= \partial_D f(u) + \partial_D f(v) = b(u) + b(v) = b'(w), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

it follows that $\partial_{D'} f' = b'$, and so D' is an $(f', b'; p)$ -orientation of G' . By definition, $G/e \in \mathcal{O}_p$.

(ii) Suppose $H \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_p$. By the definition of contraction, we may assume that H is an induced subgraph of G , and so $E(G)$ is the disjoint union of $E(H)$ and $E(G/H)$. Let v_H be the vertex in G/H onto which H is contracted. We verify the definition to show that $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.

Arbitrarily take a \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b of G and $f : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Let $a_0 = \sum_{v \in V(H)} b(v)$. Define $b_1 : V(G/H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ by

$$b_1(z) = \begin{cases} b(z) & \text{if } z \in V(G/H) - \{v_H\} \\ a_0 & \text{if } z = v_H. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

As b is a \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary, we have $\sum_{z \in V(G/H)} b_1(z) = \sum_{z \in V(G)} b(z) = 0$, and so b_1 is a \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary of G/H . Let $f_1 : E(G/H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ be the restriction of f to $E(G/H)$. Since $G/H \in \mathcal{O}_p$, G/H has an $(f_1, b_1; p)$ -orientation D_1 . Define $b_2 : V(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ by

$$b_2(z) = \begin{cases} b(z) + \sum_{e \in E_{D_1}^-(v_H) \cap E_D^+(z)} f_1(e) - \sum_{e \in E_{D_1}^+(v_H) \cap E_D^+(z)} f_1(e) & \text{if } z \in A_G(H) \\ b(z) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

As $a_0 = \sum_{v \in V(H)} b(v)$, we have

$$\sum_{z \in V(H)} b_2(z) = \sum_{z \in V(H)} b(z) + \sum_{e \in E_{D_1}^-(v_H)} f_1(e) - \sum_{e \in E_{D_1}^+(v_H)} f_1(e) = a_0 - \partial_{D_1} f_1(v_H) = 0,$$

and so b_2 is a \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary of H . Let $f_2 : E(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ be the restriction of f to $E(H)$. Since $H \in \mathcal{O}_p$, H has an $(f_2, b_2; p)$ -orientation D_2 . Obtain an orientation D of G by taking the union of D_1 and D_2 . It remains to show that D is an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation of G . For any vertex $z \in V(G) - A_G(H)$, by the definition of D_1 and D_2 , we have $\partial_D f(z) = b(z)$. For any vertex $z \in A_G(H)$, by (5) and (6), it follows that

$$\partial_D f(z) = \partial_{D_1} f_1(z) + \partial_{D_2} f_2(z) = \partial_{D_1} f_1(z) + b(z) - \partial_{D_1} f_1(z) = b(z).$$

Therefore $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$. ■

Nonempty families of connected graphs satisfying Proposition 2.6(i) and (ii) are called **complete families** and investigated in [4,5,15]. Complete families have quite a few interesting properties and are associated with certain reduction methods.

Corollary 2.7. *Let G be a connected graph and p be an odd prime. Then $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$ if and only if every block of G is in \mathcal{O}_p .*

Proof. Let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_c be blocks of G . The corollary holds trivially if $c = 1$, and so we assume $c \geq 2$. If $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$, then by Proposition 2.6(i), $B_i = G / (\cup_{j \neq i} B_j) \in \mathcal{O}_p$. Conversely, assume that every $B_i \in \mathcal{O}_p$, we proceed by induction on c to show that $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$. As G/B_c has blocks B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{c-1} and $B_i \in \mathcal{O}_p$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, c-1\}$. By induction on c , we have that $G/B_c \in \mathcal{O}_p$. As $B_c \in \mathcal{O}_p$, by Proposition 2.6(ii) we have that $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$. ■

For a given odd prime p , a graph G is **strongly \mathbb{Z}_p -connected** if for any $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, -1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$, and any \mathbb{Z}_p -boundary b , G admits an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation. The study of strongly \mathbb{Z}_p -connected graphs were initiated and investigated in [14,16,18–20], among others. By definition, a graph is strongly \mathbb{Z}_3 -connected if and only if it is \mathbb{Z}_3 -connected. Lemma 2.8(i) follows from the definition, and Lemma 2.8(iv) follows from Lemma 2.8(i) and (iii).

Lemma 2.8. *Let p be an odd prime. Each of the following holds.*

- (i) Every graph $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$ is strongly \mathbb{Z}_p -connected.
- (ii) (Jaeger et al. Proposition 2.2 of [13]) A graph G is \mathbb{Z}_3 -connected if and only if $G \in \mathcal{O}_3$.
- (iii) (Proposition 3.9 of [20]) Every strongly \mathbb{Z}_p -connected graph contains $p - 1$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.
- (iv) Every graph in \mathcal{O}_p contains $p - 1$ edge-disjoint spanning trees and is thus $(p - 1)$ -edge-connected.

For an integer $m > 0$ and a graph H , define $H^{(m)}$ to be the graph obtained from H by replacing each edge of H by a set of m parallel edges joining the same pair of vertices. In particular, $K_2^{(m)}$ is a loopless graph on two vertices and m edges. Lemma 2.9 is a consequence of Theorem 2.1(i), Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 2.8(iv).

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a graph and p be an odd prime. Then $K_2^{(m)} \in \mathcal{O}_p$ if and only if $m \geq p - 1$.*

Lemma 2.10 (Jaeger et al. [13]). *A graph $G = (V, E)$ is connected if and only if for any $b \in Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and for any orientation D , there exists and $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $\partial f = b$.*

Let $|V(G)| = n$, and let the underlying simple graph of the graph G be C_n , where $V(G) = \{v_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. We denote C_n the cycle with the same vertex set and such that $v_j v_{j+1}$ is an edge for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Similarly, we denote $C_n(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ the graph with the same vertex set and such that $i_j = |[v_j v_{j+1}]|$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. By definition, $C_2(i_1, i_2) = K_2^{(i_1+i_2)}$.

Lemma 2.11. Let $G = C_n(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$. If for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, $i_j \leq p - 1$, and if $\sum_{j=1}^n i_j \geq (n - 1)(p - 1)$, then $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.

Proof. Let $f \in F(G, \mathbb{Z}_p^*)$ and $b \in Z(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be given. We are going to find an orientation D of G such that $\partial_D(f) = b$. Orient the edges of $E(C_n)$ so that for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, the edge e_j is oriented from v_j to v_{j+1} , and let D_1 denote the resulting orientation of C_n .

By Lemma 2.10, there is a mapping $f'_0 \in F(C_n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that $\partial_{D_1} f'_0 = b$. For each constant $c \in \{1, \dots, p - 1\}$, let f'_c be the mapping given by $f'_c(e) = f'_0(e) + c$ for any $e \in E(C_n)$. It follows that $\partial_{D_1} f'_c = \partial_{D_1} f'_0 = b$.

Fix an arbitrary $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, and let $[e_j]$ denote the edges parallel to e_j in G . By assumption, we may denote $[e_j] = \{e_j^1, \dots, e_j^{i_j}\}$ (with $e_j = e_j^1$). Define a bipartite graph K with vertex bipartition (V_1, V_2) , where $V_1 = \{f'_0, f'_1, \dots, f'_{p-1}\}$ and $V_2 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ such that f'_c is adjacent to e_j in K if and only if $f'_c(e_j) \notin \Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))$. Thus $d_K(e_j) = |\mathbb{Z}_p - \Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))|$. By Lemma 2.5 and since $i_j \leq p - 1$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have $\sum_{j=1}^n |\Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))| \geq \sum_{j=1}^n (i_j + 1)$. It follows by the assumption $\sum_{j=1}^n i_j \geq (n - 1)(p - 1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |E(K)| &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_K(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbb{Z}_p - \Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbb{Z}_p| - \sum_{j=1}^n |\Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))| \\ &\leq np - \sum_{j=1}^n (i_j + 1) \leq n(p - 1) - \sum_{j=1}^n i_j \leq p - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists at least one $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that f'_c is of degree zero in K . This implies that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we always have $f'_c(e_j) \in \Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))$.

Consider a $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that f'_c is of degree zero in K . We now construct an orientation D of G so that $\partial_D f = b$ to complete the proof. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we orient the edges $\{e_j^1, \dots, e_j^{i_j}\}$. Since $f'_c(e_j) \in \Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))$, by the definition of $\Omega(f(e_j^1), \dots, f(e_j^{i_j}))$, there exist scalars $\ell_t \in \{1, -1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $f'_c(e_j) = \sum_{t=1}^{i_j} \ell_t f(e_j^t)$. For each t with $1 \leq t \leq i_j$, orient e_j^t from v_j to v_{j+1} if $\ell_t = 1$ and from v_{j+1} to v_j if $\ell_t = -1$. Denote the resulting orientation of G by D . By the definition of D , we have

$$\sum_{e \in E_D^+(v_j) \cap [e_j]} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E_D^-(v_j) \cap [e_j]} f(e) = f'_c(e).$$

This implies that $\partial_D f = \partial_{D_1} f'_0 = b$, and so D is an $(f, b; p)$ -orientation of G . This proves the lemma. ■

Corollary 2.12. Let $G = C_n(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$. The following are equivalent.

- (i) $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.
- (ii) G has $p - 1$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8(iv), we have (i) implies (ii). We proceed by induction to prove that (ii) implies (i), and assume that G has $p - 1$ edge-disjoint spanning trees. If $n = 2$, then (i) follows from Lemma 2.9. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and that (ii) implies (i) for smaller values of n . If C_n has an edge, say $e_n = v_n v_1$ with $|[e_n]| \geq p - 1$, then we induce on $G' = G/[e_n]$. As $G' = C_{n-1}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1})$ and as G' also has $p - 1$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, $G' \in \mathcal{O}_p$. By Lemma 2.9 and Proposition 2.6, we have $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$. Therefore, we may assume that $|[e]| \leq p - 2$ for any $e \in E(C_n)$. Since G has $p - 1$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, we have $\sum_{j=1}^n i_j = |E(G)| \geq (n - 1)(p - 1)$, and so by Lemma 2.11, $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$. ■

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

We first make some remarks before proving Theorem 1.5. In the original version of this paper, for a graph with large Euler genus g , we proved edge connectivity bound $2gp$, roughly, through a different method. A referee of this paper kindly shared his/her ideas to improve the bound from the fact that every simple graph with Euler genus g is $O(\sqrt{g})$ -degenerate, which eventually helps us to achieve the current bound $(p - 2)\lfloor\sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5\rfloor + 1$ for $g \geq 3$. Digging deeper on those arguments and ideas, with the help of Theorem 3.1, we are also able to get a better bound $p\sqrt{4.98g}$ for a sufficiently large g . We would like to thank the referees for very helpful suggestions.

Theorem 3.1 (Delcourt and Postle [8]). *For a sufficiently large integer n , every simple graph on n vertices with minimum degree at least $0.8274n$ can be edge-decomposed into triangles if each vertex has degree even and its number of edges is divisible by 3.*

The following is a consequence of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *For a sufficiently large integer n , every simple graph on n vertices with minimum degree at least $0.8275n$ can be edge-decomposed into triangles, plus at most $0.5n + 7$ single edges.*

Proof. Let G be a graph on n vertices with minimum degree at least $0.8275n$. Then G has a Hamiltonian cycle C by Dirac's Theorem. Let T be the set of odd degree vertices in G . Clearly, $|T|$ is even, and so let $|T| = 2t$, where $t \geq 0$. We label the vertices of T as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2t} in the cyclic order along the Hamiltonian cycle C . Then for each $1 \leq i \leq t$, there is a path P_i in the cyclic order of C from v_{2i-1} to v_{2i} . Define $X = \cup_{i=1}^t E(P_i)$ if $|\cup_{i=1}^t E(P_i)| \leq 0.5n$, and $X = E(C) \setminus (\cup_{i=1}^t E(P_i))$ otherwise. Then we have $|X| \leq 0.5n$ and each vertex of T has degree odd in X . Let $G_1 = G - X$. Then each vertex of G_1 has degree even. If $|E(G_1)|$ is divisible by 3, then let $G_2 = G_1$. If $|E(G_1)|$ is not divisible by 3, noting that G_1 contains both 5-cycles and 7-cycles by Turán's Theorem, then we delete the edges of a 5-cycle or a 7-cycle in G_1 to obtain a new graph G_2 whose number of edges is divisible by 3. Now G_2 has minimum degree at least $0.8275n - 4 > 0.8274n$, and each vertex of G_2 has degree even. So Theorem 3.1 is applicable for G_2 in any case. Hence $E(G_2)$ can be edge-decomposed into triangles by Theorem 3.1. As $E(G) \setminus E(G_2)$ has at most $0.5n + 7$ edges, the lemma follows. ■

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.5. As Theorem 1.5 holds trivially if $G = K_1$, we assume that $|V(G)| \geq 2$. In the following, we always let \bar{G} denote the **underlying simple graph** of G . For fixed integer $p \geq 3$, define a function on the interval $[3, \infty)$ as follows.

$$\phi(x) = \frac{2(x - 1)}{x - 2}p - \frac{2x}{x - 2}.$$

As on $[3, \infty)$, the derivative of the function is

$$\phi'(x) = \frac{4 - 2p}{(x - 2)^2} < 0,$$

it follows that

$$\phi(x) \text{ is a decreasing function on } [3, \infty). \tag{7}$$

We prove the following equivalent statement of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p > 0$ be an odd prime, and let G be a graph with $\kappa'(G) \geq p - 1$. Then each of the following holds.*

- (i) *If G has Euler genus $g \leq 2$ and $\kappa'(G) \geq 4p - 6 + \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$, then $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.*
- (ii) *If G has Euler genus $g \geq 3$ and $\kappa'(G) \geq (p - 2)\lfloor 2.5 + \sqrt{6g + 0.25} \rfloor + 1$, then $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.*
- (iii) *If G has sufficiently large Euler genus (independent of p) and $\kappa'(G) \geq p\sqrt{4.98g}$, then $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$.*

Proof. To prove Theorem 3.3, we argue by contradiction and assume that

$$G \text{ is a counterexample to Theorem 3.3 with } |V(G)| \text{ minimized.} \tag{8}$$

Thus one of (i), (ii) and (iii) holds but $G \notin \mathcal{O}_p$, and so by (8), we have the following claim.

Claim 3.4. *Each of the following holds.*

- (i) $\kappa(G) \geq 2$.
- (ii) G does not have a nontrivial subgraph H such that $H \in \mathcal{O}_p$.
- (iii) G does not have a subgraph isomorphic to a $K_2^{(m)}$ with $m \geq p - 1$.
- (iv) G does not have a subgraph isomorphic to a $C_\ell(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^\ell i_j \geq (\ell - 1)(p - 1)$.

Since $\kappa'(G) \geq p - 1 \geq 2$, G is connected. Let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_c be blocks of G . If $c \geq 2$, then the definition of edge-connectivity implies $\kappa'(G) = \min\{\kappa'(B_i) : 1 \leq i \leq c\}$, and so by (8), each $B_i \in \mathcal{O}_p$. It follows by Corollary 2.7 that $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$, a contradiction to (8). Thus, $c = 1$ and Claim 3.4(i) holds.

Let H be a subgraph of G such that $|V(H)| > 1$ and $H \in \mathcal{O}_p$. Let $G' = G/H$ with Euler genus g' . Then by definition, $\kappa'(G') \geq \kappa'(G)$ and $g \geq g'$. As $|V(H)| > 1$, $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$, and so by (8), $G' \in \mathcal{O}_p$. By Proposition 2.6(ii), we have $G \in \mathcal{O}_p$, a contradiction to (8). Thus Claim 3.4(ii) holds.

By Lemma 2.9, $K_2^{(m)} \in \mathcal{O}_p$ when $m \geq p - 1$, and by Lemma 2.11, $C_\ell(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell) \in \mathcal{O}_p$ when $\sum_{j=1}^\ell i_j \geq (\ell - 1)(p - 1)$. Hence Claim 3.4(iii) and (iv) are consequences of Claim 3.4(ii), and so the claim holds.

Notice that if $n = |V(G)| \leq 3$, then by Claim 3.4(i), we have that the underlying simple graph \tilde{G} is isomorphic to K_n . When $n = 2, 3$, the edge connectivity implies that G contains a subgraph in \mathcal{O}_p (as in Claim 3.4(iii) or (iv)), contrary to Claim 3.4(ii). Hence we have

Observation 3.5. $|V(G)| \geq 4$.

By Claim 3.4(iii), for any edge $e \in E(G)$, there are at most $p - 2$ edges parallel to e in G ; and if G has a subgraph J isomorphic to a $C_\ell(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$, then $|E(J)| \leq (\ell - 1)(p - 1) - 1$. This is a key fact in later proofs.

Let S be a surface of Euler genus g and suppose G is embedded into S in such a way that for each edge $e \in E(G)$, if $[e] = \{e^1, e^2, \dots, e^s\}$ with $s = |[e]| \geq 2$, then, re-embedding the edges in $[e]$ if needed, the 2-cycles $\{e^1, e^2\}, \{e^2, e^3\}, \dots, \{e^{s-1}, e^s\}$ are the boundaries of some 2-faces of the embedding.

Define $F(G)$ to be the set of faces of G . For each $f \in F(G)$, we define $d_G(f)$ to be the number of edges incident with f , and for each integer $i \geq 1$, let F_i be the number of faces of degree i in G . A face of degree ℓ is often called an ℓ -face. If the two edges of a 2-face are parallel to or contain an edge of an ℓ -face for some $\ell \geq 3$, then we say this 2-face is related to the ℓ -face, or is a related 2-face of the ℓ -face.

Recall Euler's formula that

$$|V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)| = 2 - g.$$

To find a contradiction, we use a discharging argument. Define k as follows,

$$k = \begin{cases} 4p - 6 + \lfloor g/2 \rfloor & \text{if } g \leq 2, \\ (p - 2)\lfloor \sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5 \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } g \geq 3, \\ p\sqrt{4.98g} & \text{if } g \text{ is sufficiently large.} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

As in a 2-cell embedding of a graph G on a surface, every edge is incident with one or two faces. It follows that every 2-face of G in this 2-cell embedding is related to either one or two faces of degree at least 3. Define, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$X_i(G) = \{f \in F(G) : f \text{ is a } 2\text{-face and is related to } i \text{ faces of degree at least } 3 \text{ in the embedding.}\}$$

For each face $f \in F(G)$, we assign an initial charge $w(f)$ equaling the degree of f in the embedding. Now we define the discharging rule as follows.

For $\ell \geq 3$ and $i \in 1, 2$, every ℓ -face f gives $\frac{2(3 - i)}{k - 2}$ to each of the 2-faces in $X_i(G)$ related to f .

For any $f \in F(G)$, let $w^*(f)$ be the resulting charge of f after recharging. As every 2-face in $F(G)$ is either in $X_1(G)$ or in $X_2(G)$, by the discharging rule, we conclude that

$$\text{For any 2-face } f \text{ of } G, w^*(f) = 2 + \frac{4}{k-2}. \tag{10}$$

For an integer $\ell \geq 3$ and for any $f \in F(G)$ with $d_G(f) = \ell$, let $\bar{E}(f)$ be the set of edges that are in 2-faces related to f or contained in f , and let $E_1(f)$ be the set of edges in 2-faces related to f and in $X_1(G)$. Let Y be the edge-induced graph by $\bar{E}(f) - E_1(f)$ and assume that Y has c components. Note that each component of $\bar{E}(f) - E_1(f)$ is a $C_{\ell_j}(i_1^j, \dots, i_{\ell_j}^j)$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}$. Here $C_{\ell_j}(i_1^j, \dots, i_{\ell_j}^j)$ is a single vertex when $\ell_j = 0$. We may, without loss of generality, assume all those single vertices are $C_{\ell_j}(i_1^j, \dots, i_{\ell_j}^j)$'s for $j \geq c' + 1$, where $c' \leq c$. Hence $\ell = \sum_{j=1}^c \ell_j + 2(c-1) = \sum_{j=1}^{c'} \ell_j + 2c - 2$, and so $\sum_{j=1}^{c'} \ell_j = \ell + 2 - 2c$.

By Claim 3.4(iii) and (iv), $|\bar{E}(f)| \leq (c-1)(p-2) + \sum_{j=1}^{c'} ((\ell_j - 1)(p-1) - 1)$. By the discharging rule, for any ℓ -face f of G with $\ell \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} w^*(f) &\geq \ell - \frac{2}{k-2} \left[2(c-1)(p-3) + \sum_{j=1}^{c'} ((\ell_j - 1)(p-1) - 1 - \ell_j) \right] \\ &= \ell - \frac{2}{k-2} \left[2(c-1)(p-3) + (p-2) \sum_{j=1}^{c'} \ell_j - pc' \right] \\ &= \ell - \frac{2}{k-2} \left[2(c-1)(p-3) + (p-2)(\ell + 2 - 2c) - pc' \right] \\ &= \ell - \frac{2}{k-2} \left[-pc' - 2c + \ell(p-2) + 2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of 2-cell embedding and 2-connectivity of G , one has $c \geq c' \geq 1$. Hence, for any ℓ -face f of G with $\ell \geq 3$,

$$w^*(f) \geq \ell - \frac{2}{k-2} [-p-2 + \ell(p-2) + 2] = \ell - (\ell p - 2\ell - p) \frac{2}{k-2}. \tag{11}$$

By (1), we have that $\kappa'(G) \geq k$. Then $2|E(G)| \geq \kappa'(G)|V(G)| \geq k|V(G)|$. It follows from Euler's formula $|V(G)| + |F(G)| - |E(G)| = 2 - g$ that $\frac{k}{k-2} (|F(G)| - 2 + g) \geq |E(G)|$, and so

$$\sum_{i \geq 2} \left(2 + \frac{4}{k-2} \right) f_i - \frac{2k(2-g)}{k-2} = \frac{2k}{k-2} (|F(G)| - 2 + g) \geq 2|E(G)| = \sum_{f \in F(G)} w(f) = \sum_{f \in F(G)} w^*(f). \tag{12}$$

Case A $g \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Then $\kappa'(G) \geq k = 4p - 6 + \lfloor g/2 \rfloor \geq 4p - 6$. Let $k' = 4p - 6$. By (7), for any $f \in F(G)$ with $d_G(f) = \ell \geq 3$, we have

$$k \geq k' = 4p - 6 = \phi(3) \geq \phi(\ell) = \frac{2(\ell-1)}{\ell-2} p - \frac{2\ell}{\ell-2} = \frac{2\ell p - 2p - 2\ell}{\ell-2}, \tag{13}$$

which is equivalent to $(k' - 2)\ell - 2(\ell p - 2\ell - p) \geq 2k'$. Hence

$$\ell - (\ell p - 2\ell - p) \frac{2}{k' - 2} \geq \frac{2k'}{k' - 2}. \tag{14}$$

If $g = 0, 1$, then $k' = k$, and so by (11) and (14) we have for any $f \in F(G)$ with $d_G(f) = \ell \geq 3$, $w^*(f) \geq \frac{2k}{k-2} = 2 + \frac{4}{k-2}$. This, together with (10), implies $\sum_{f \in F(G)} w(f) = \sum_{f \in F(G)} w^*(f) \geq \sum_{i \geq 2} \left(2 + \frac{4}{k-2} \right) f_i$, contrary to (12). Thus the theorem must hold in Case A with $g = 0, 1$.

Now assume that $g = 2$. Then $k > k' = 4p - 6 = \phi(3)$. It follows by (13) and by $k > k'$ that (14) holds with strict inequality if we replace k' by k in (14). This leads to $\ell - (\ell p - 2\ell - p) \frac{2}{k-2} > \frac{2k}{k-2}$. This, together with (11), implies that for any $f \in F(G)$ with $d_G(f) = \ell \geq 3$, $w^*(f) > \frac{2k}{k-2} = 2 + \frac{4}{k-2}$. Thus, in conjunction with (10), we have

$$\sum_{f \in F(G)} w(f) = \sum_{f \in F(G)} w^*(f) > \sum_{i \geq 2} (2 + \frac{4}{k-2}) f_i,$$

contrary to (12). This settles Case A.

In the rest of the arguments, we let $\delta = \delta(\tilde{G})$ to be the minimum degree of \tilde{G} , the underlying simple graph of G . By Claim 3.4(iii), for any edge $e \in E(G)$ there are at most $p - 2$ edges parallel to edge e . Hence the minimum degree of G is at most $(p - 2)\delta$. This provides the following observation.

Observation 3.6. $(p - 2)\delta \geq \kappa'(G) \geq k$.

Case B $g \geq 3$.

In this case, by (9) and Observation 3.6, we have

$$\delta(\tilde{G}) = \delta \geq \lfloor \sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5 \rfloor + \frac{1}{p-2} > \lfloor \sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5 \rfloor.$$

Note that δ is a positive integer. Thus we have

$$\delta > \sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5. \tag{15}$$

Since \tilde{G} is a simple graph, every face of the embedding of \tilde{G} has degree at least 3, and so $2|E(\tilde{G})| = \sum_{f \in F(\tilde{G})} d(f) \geq 3|F(\tilde{G})|$. Note that the Euler genus of \tilde{G} is the same as the Euler genus of G . Applying Euler's formula $|V(\tilde{G})| + |F(\tilde{G})| - |E(\tilde{G})| = 2 - g$ for \tilde{G} , we have $\frac{2}{3}|E(\tilde{G})| \geq |F(\tilde{G})| = 2 - g + |E(\tilde{G})| - |V(\tilde{G})|$, which gives

$$g - 2 \geq \frac{1}{3}|E(\tilde{G})| - |V(\tilde{G})| = \frac{1}{3}|V(\tilde{G})|(\frac{|E(\tilde{G})|}{|V(\tilde{G})|} - 3) \geq \frac{1}{3}(\delta(\tilde{G}) + 1)(\frac{\delta(\tilde{G})}{2} - 3).$$

Combining with (15), it follows that $g - 2 \geq \frac{1}{6}(\delta^2 - 5\delta - 6) > \frac{1}{6}[(\sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5)^2 - 5(\sqrt{6g + 0.25} + 2.5) - 6] = g - 2$, a contradiction. This settles Case B.

Case C g is sufficiently large.

For any ℓ -face f of G with $\ell \geq 3$, by (11), we have

$$w^*(f) \geq \ell(1 - \frac{2(p-2)}{k-2}) + \frac{2p}{k-2} \geq 3(1 - \frac{2(p-2)}{k-2}) + \frac{2p}{k-2} = \frac{3k - 4p + 6}{k-2}.$$

Thus, by (10) and (12), we have

$$\sum_{i \geq 2} (2 + \frac{4}{k-2}) f_i - \frac{2k(2-g)}{k-2} \geq \sum_{f \in F(G)} w^*(f) \geq (2 + \frac{4}{k-2}) f_2 + \sum_{i \geq 3} \frac{3k - 4p + 6}{k-2} f_i,$$

which gives $\frac{2k(g-2)}{k-2} \geq \frac{k-4p+6}{k-2} \sum_{i \geq 3} f_i$ and

$$2k(g-2) \geq (k-4p+6) \sum_{i \geq 3} f_i. \tag{16}$$

Notice that, since G is embedded into S , the embedding of \tilde{G} on S may be obtained from embedding G by deleting parallel edges. So for any $\ell \geq 3$, each ℓ -face of G is exactly an ℓ -face of \tilde{G} . Hence we have $\sum_{i \geq 3} f_i = |F(\tilde{G})| = 2 - g + |E(\tilde{G})| - |V(\tilde{G})|$. By (16), we have

$$2k(g-2) \geq (k-4p+6)(2-g+|E(\tilde{G})|-|V(\tilde{G})|). \tag{17}$$

If $|V(\tilde{G})| > \frac{\delta}{0.828} + 6$, then it follows from (17) and Observation 3.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} 2k(g-2) &\geq (k-4p+6)(2-g+|E(\tilde{G})|-|V(\tilde{G})|) \\ &\geq (k-4p+6)(2-g+\frac{\delta}{2}|V(\tilde{G})|-|V(\tilde{G})|) \\ &\geq (k-4p+6)\left(2-g+\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{0.828}+6\right)\right) \\ &\geq (k-4p+6)\left(2-g+\left(\frac{k}{2(p-2)}-1\right)\left(\frac{k}{0.828(p-2)}+6\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k = p\sqrt{4.98g}$, $\frac{k}{p-2} > \sqrt{4.98g}$, and g is sufficiently large, we further obtain from the above inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} 2p\sqrt{4.98g}(g-2) &\geq (k-4p+6)\left(2-g+\left(\frac{k}{2(p-2)}-1\right)\left(\frac{k}{0.828(p-2)}+6\right)\right) \\ &> (p\sqrt{4.98g}-4p+6)\left(2-g+(0.5\sqrt{4.98g}-1)\left(\frac{\sqrt{4.98g}}{0.828}+6\right)\right) \\ &> (p\sqrt{4.98g}-4p+6)(2-g+3.007g) \\ &> 2.006gp\sqrt{4.98g}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Assume instead that $|V(\tilde{G})| \leq \frac{\delta}{0.828} + 6 < \frac{\delta}{0.8275}$. Then $\delta(\tilde{G}) = \delta \geq 0.8275|V(\tilde{G})|$ and $|V(\tilde{G})| \geq \delta + 1 \geq \sqrt{4.98g}$ is sufficiently large. Hence Lemma 3.2 is applicable to \tilde{G} . It follows by Lemma 3.2 that \tilde{G} can be decomposed into edge-disjoint triangles, plus at most $0.5|V(\tilde{G})| + 7$ single edges. By Claim 3.4(iv), each such triangle of \tilde{G} corresponds to at most $\frac{2p}{3} - 3$ edge of G , and each single edge corresponds to at most $p - 2$ edge of G . As there are at most $\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{|V(\tilde{G})|(|V(\tilde{G})|-1)}{2}$ such triangles in \tilde{G} , this gives an estimation on the number of edges in G as follows:

$$|E(G)| \leq (2p-3) \cdot \frac{|V(\tilde{G})|(|V(\tilde{G})|-1)}{6} + (p-2) \cdot (0.5|V(\tilde{G})| + 7) < \frac{2p|V(\tilde{G})|^2}{6}.$$

Hence we have

$$|V(\tilde{G})| = |V(G)| > \frac{6|E(G)|}{2p|V(\tilde{G})|} \geq \frac{3k}{2p} = \frac{3p\sqrt{4.98g}}{2p} = 1.5\sqrt{4.98g}.$$

Thus, by (17) and since $\frac{\delta}{2} \geq \frac{k}{2(p-2)} > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4.98g} + 1$, we obtain a contradiction as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2p\sqrt{4.98g}(g-2) = 2k(g-2) &\geq (k-4p+6)(2-g+\frac{\delta}{2}|V(\tilde{G})|-|V(\tilde{G})|) \\ &> (k-4p+6)(2-g+(\frac{\delta}{2}-1) \cdot 1.5\sqrt{4.98g}) \\ &> (p\sqrt{4.98g}-4p+6)(2-g+0.75 \cdot 4.98g) \\ &> 2.5gp\sqrt{4.98g}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof for this case and justifies Theorem 3.3. ■

Theorem 1.4 indicates that if the edge connectivity of a graph G is at least some quadratic function of p , then G is in \mathcal{O}_p . In view of our main result, we believe that it is possible that a linear function would suffice. We conclude this paper with the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.7. *There exists a constant c independent of p such that every cp -edge-connected graph is in \mathcal{O}_p .*

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Jian-Bing Liu: Methodology, Writing - original draft. **Ping Li:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition. **Jiao Li:** Methodology, Validation, Writing - review & editing. **Hong-Jian Lai:** Conceptualization, Validation, Investigation, Supervision.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referees for their many helpful suggestions, substantially improving the presentation of the paper. Particular thanks to a referee for the ideas leading to an improvement of our main result [Theorem 1.5](#) for graphs with large Euler genus.

References

- [1] N. Alon, N. Linial, R. Meshulam, Additive bases of vector spaces over prime fields, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 57 (1991) 203–210.
- [2] N. Alon, M. Nathanson, I. Ruzsa, The polynomial method and restricted sums of congruence classes, *J. Number Theory* 56 (2) (1996) 404–417.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [4] P.A. Catlin, The reduction of graph families closed under contraction, *Discrete Math.* 160 (1996) 67–80.
- [5] P.A. Catlin, A.M. Hobbs, H.-J. Lai, Graph families operations, *Discrete Math.* 230 (2001) 71–97.
- [6] A.L. Cauchy, Recherches sur les nombres, *J. École Polytech.* 9 (1813) 99–123.
- [7] H. Davenport, On the addition of residue classes, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 10 (1935) 30–32.
- [8] M. Delcourt, L. Postle, Progress towards Nash-Williams' conjecture on triangle decompositions, [arXiv:1909.00514](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.00514).
- [9] L. Esperet, R. de Joannis de Verclós, T.N. Le, S. Thomassé, Additive bases and flows in graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 32 (1) (2018) 534–542.
- [10] J.L. Gross, T.W. Tucker, *Topological Graph Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [11] M. Han, J. Li, Y. Wu, C.-Q. Zhang, Counterexamples to Jaeger's circular flow conjecture, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 131 (2018) 1–11.
- [12] F. Jaeger, Nowhere-zero flow problems, in: L. Beineke, R. Wilson (Eds.), *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, vol. 3, Academic Press, London/New York, 1988, pp. 91–95.
- [13] F. Jaeger, N. Linial, C. Payan, M. Tarsi, Group connectivity of graphs - A non-homogeneous analogue of nowhere-zero flow properties, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 56 (1992) 165–182.
- [14] H.-J. Lai, Mod $(2p + 1)$ -orientations and $K_{1,2p+1}$ -decompositions, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 21 (2007) 844–850.
- [15] H.-J. Lai, H. Lai, Duality of graph families, *Discrete Math.* 110 (1992) 165–177.
- [16] H.-J. Lai, Y. Liang, J. Liu, Z. Miao, J. Meng, Y. Shao, Z. Zhang, On strongly Z_{2s+1} -connected graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 174 (2014) 73–80.
- [17] H.-J. Lai, R. Luo, C.-Q. Zhang, Integer flow orientation, in: L. Beineke, R. Wilson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, in: *Topics in Chromatic Graph Theory*, vol. 156, 2015, pp. 181–198.
- [18] H.-J. Lai, Y. Shao, H. Wu, J. Zhou, On mod $(2p + 1)$ -orientations of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 99 (2009) 399–406.
- [19] J. Li, *Group Connectivity and Modulo Orientations of Graphs* (Ph.D. dissertation), West Virginia University, 2018.
- [20] J. Li, H.-J. Lai, R. Luo, Group connectivity strongly Z_m -connectivity and edge disjoint spanning trees, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 31 (3) (2017) 1909–1922.
- [21] L.M. Lovász, C. Thomassen, Y. Wu, C.-Q. Zhang, Nowhere-zero 3-flows and modulo k -orientations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 103 (2013) 587–598.
- [22] H.B. Mann, Y.F. Wou, An addition theorem for the elementary abelian group of type (p, p) , *Monatsh. Math.* 102 (1986) 273–308.
- [23] C.-Q. Zhang, *Integer Flows and Cycle Covers of Graphs*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, ISBN: 0-8247-9790-6, 1997.